1	D. Andrew Gaona (028414) COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC					
2	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1900					
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 T: (602) 381-5486					
4	agaona@cblawyers.com					
5	Sambo (Bo) Dul (030313) STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER					
6	8205 South Priest Drive, #10312 Tempe, Arizona 85284					
7	T: (480) 253-9651 bo@statesuniteddemocracy.org					
8						
9	Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs					
10	ARIZONA SUPI	RIOR COURT				
11	MOHAVE					
12	JEANNE KENTCH, an individual; TED)	No. S8015CV2022-01468				
13	BOYD, and individual; ABRAHAM) HAMADEH, an individual; and)	ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE				
14	REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, a) federal political party committee,	KATIE HOBBS' MOTION TO				
15) Plaintiffs/Contestants,	DISMISS STATEMENT OF ELECTION CONTEST				
16	v.)	(Oral Argument Deguested)				
17) KRIS MAYES,	(Oral Argument Requested)				
18) Defendant/Contestee,	(Assigned to Hon. Lee F. Jantzen)				
19 20) and					
20 21						
) KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the) Secretary of State; et al.,					
22) KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the) Secretary of State; et al.,) Defendants.					
22 23	Secretary of State; et al.,					
	Secretary of State; et al.,					
23	Secretary of State; et al.,					
23 24	Secretary of State; et al.,					
23 24 25	Secretary of State; et al.,					
23 24 25	Secretary of State; et al.,					

Introduction & Background

1

2 In this "election contest," Plaintiffs/Contestants ask this Court to overturn the results of 3 the 2022 General Election. In that election, based on the official statewide canvass, the people 4 of Arizona chose Kris Mayes as their next Attorney General by a narrow margin of 511 votes. 5 As required by Arizona law, that race is currently the subject of an automatic statewide recount, with a hearing to announce the recount results set for December 22. Plaintiffs now ask this Court 6 7 to halt that process and declare Plaintiff Abraham Hamadeh the winner of that race. But that 8 relief is extreme, unfounded, and unavailable. An election contest must rest on facts known to 9 Plaintiffs when a contest is filed, not wild speculation aimed at undermining the work of 10 Arizona's election officials.

11 State and county election officials should be commended for their hard work, diligence, and integrity in administering the 2022 General Election. However, like all elections that came 12 13 before it and all elections that will follow it, this election was not perfect – after all, elections are 14 administered by humans. But that is emphatically not a reason for this Court to thwart the will 15 of the people as expressed at the ballot box, which is precisely what Plaintiffs ask this Court to 16 do. Arizona courts apply "all reasonable presumptions" in "favor [of] the validity of an election," 17 Moore v. City of Page, 148 Ariz. 151, 159 (App. 1986), presumptions that Plaintiffs' threadbare 18 allegations cannot overcome.

First, this entire lawsuit should be dismissed under the equitable doctrine of laches.
Plaintiffs Hamadeh and the Republican National Committee originally filed this lawsuit in
Maricopa on November 22, and it was dismissed without prejudice because it was premature.
Yet they waited until just hours before the statutory deadline to re-file essentially the same
lawsuit (but in a different county), and in so doing, injected unnecessary delay into the process
when they clearly knew their intentions all along. This alone is reason to dismiss their Statement.

Second, Plaintiffs' allegations related to election day issues in Maricopa County (Count
I) fail from the get-go because they do not establish "misconduct" or an "erroneous count of

votes" and because they allege that the maximum universe of potentially affected voters is 395,
 which cannot change the outcome of the election.

Third, Plaintiffs' claims about Maricopa County's alleged failure to issue provisional
ballots (Count II) and inaccurate ballot duplications and electronic adjudications (Counts III and
IV, respectively) across all counties are based <u>entirely</u> on speculation and therefore fail as a
matter of law. Beyond that, Plaintiffs' requested relief – that an unknown number of voters be
allowed to cast provisional ballots weeks after election day – is not authorized by law.

Fourth, Plaintiffs' claim that an unidentified and unknowable number of early ballots
constituted "illegal votes" because of an alleged conflict between A.R.S. § 16-550(A) and the
2019 Election Procedures Manual ("EPM") fails because it was brought far too late, it fails as a
matter of law, and, like Counts II-IV, it's based on pure speculation.

Finally, the Court should not defer ruling on these fundamental legal deficiencies to permit Plaintiffs to do any discovery. They filed this litigation to try and find proof to support their claims, and that's simply not how election contests work. The Court shouldn't reward Plaintiffs' attempted fishing expedition or tolerate their scattershot approach to this litigation.

16

Argument

Plaintiffs' election contest fails, and the Court should quickly dismiss it. But the Secretary
recognizes that election contests are rare, and first provides the Court with some background and
fundamental principles underlying this dispute.

To survive a motion to dismiss, an election contest must be based on well-pleaded facts, rather than on legal conclusions. *See Hancock v. Bisnar*, 212 Ariz. 344, 348 ¶ 17 (2006) (assessing election contest under Rule 8(a) notice pleading requirements); *Griffin v. Buzard*, 86 Ariz. 166, 169-70 (1959) (election contest subject to dismissal if it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted). "A complaint that states only legal conclusions, without any supporting factual allegations, does not satisfy Arizona's notice pleading standard under Rule 8," *Cullen v. Auto-Owners Ins. Co.*, 218 Ariz. 417. 419 ¶ 7 (2008), and the Court may not accept as true "inferences or deductions that are not necessarily implied by well-pleaded facts,
 unreasonable inferences or unsupported conclusions from such facts, or legal conclusions
 alleged as facts." implied by well-pleaded facts" and "unreasonable inferences or unsupported
 conclusions," *Jeter v. Mayo Clinic Ariz.*, 211 Ariz. 386, 389 ¶ 4 (App. 2005).

5 "[E]lection contests are purely statutory, unknown to the common law, and are neither actions at law nor suits in equity, but are special proceedings." Griffin, 86 Ariz. at 168. They are 6 7 thus the subject of deliberate legislative restriction because of a "strong public policy favoring" stability and finality of election results." Ariz. City Sanitary Dist. v. Olson, 224 Ariz. 330, 334 ¶ 8 9 12 (App. 2010) (cleaned up). And A.R.S. § 16-672(A) carefully circumscribes the valid grounds 10 of a contest: (1) "misconduct" by election boards and canvassers; (2) the elected official was ineligible for the contested office; (3) the contested official gave a "bribe or reward" or 11 "committed any other offense against the elective franchise"; (4) "illegal votes"; or (5) because 12 13 of an "erroneous count of votes," the elected official didn't "receive the highest number of 14 votes." The Legislature also provided that the exclusive remedies in election contests are (1) judgment confirming the election; (2) judgment annulling and setting aside the election for the 15 16 contested race; (3) a declaration that the certificate of election of the person whose office is 17 contested is of no further legal force or effect and that a different person secured the highest 18 number of legal votes and is elected. A.R.S. § 16-676(B), (C). The Court lacks jurisdiction to 19 grant any other form of relief.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Plaintiffs also must prove their entitlement to the extraordinary remedy of overturning election results against several important backstops:

- Arizona courts apply "all reasonable presumptions" in "favor [of] the validity of an election," *Moore*, 148 Ariz. at 159;
- the "returns of the election officers are prima facie correct," *Hunt v. Campbell*, 19 Ariz. 254, 268 (1917); and
- 26

1

courts apply a presumption of "good faith and honesty of the members of the election board" that must control unless there is "clear and satisfactory proof" to the contrary, *id*.

All told, to obtain relief in this case, Plaintiffs must overcome all these presumptions and make either "a showing of fraud or . . . a showing that had proper procedures been used, the result would have been different." Moore, 148 Ariz. at 159. Because Plaintiffs "are not alleging any fraud" [Stmt. ¶ 1], to state a valid election contest, Plaintiffs must allege facts sufficient to show "the result would have been different."

With this background in mind, we turn to each of Plaintiffs' deficient claims.

I.

Plaintiffs' Entire Case is Barred by Laches.

10 This is not the first go-around with these precise claims in an election contest – Plaintiffs 11 Hamadeh and the RNC filed a near-identical complaint in Maricopa County on November 22 12 [see Exhibit 1], which was dismissed several days later because it was premature under the 13 election contest statutes, Hamadeh v. Mayes, No. CV2022-015455 (Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct., 14 Nov. 29, 2022 Order) [attached as **Exhibit 2**]. Plaintiffs could have re-filed this action as early 15 as 11:00 AM on December 5 once the statewide canvass was certified, yet laid in wait until just 16 before the courthouse closed on December 9 to do so. These facts cry out for the application of 17 the equitable doctrine of laches, as Plaintiffs clearly knew of their cause of action well before its 18 filing and have prejudiced all involved by waiting. And as Plaintiffs themselves noted in the 19 Maricopa County action, "[b]ecause finality in elections is paramount to an orderly transfer of 20 power, election contests must be initiated, litigated and concluded with all deliberate speed," 21 Plaintiffs who tarry risk discovering that their claims have dissipated in the passage of time, and 22 unnecessary delay "would perversely penalize the Contestants for acting promptly, undermine 23 the expedited statutory timetables for bringing and resolving election contests, and jeopardize a 24 timely transfer of power in January." [Exhibit 3 (excerpt from Plaintiffs' 11/28/22 Response to 25 Motion to Dismiss, p. 4)]

Laches "seeks to prevent dilatory conduct and will bar a claim if a party's unreasonable delay prejudices the opposing party or the administration of justice." *Lubin v. Thomas*, 213 Ariz. 496, 497 ¶ 10 (2006). And it can be applied even if a case is technically filed within a statute of limitations set by the Legislature for an election challenge. *See, e.g., Lubin v. Thomas*, 213 Ariz. 496, 498 ¶¶ 9-11 (2006) (noting that "merely complying with the time limits . . . for filing a notice of appeal may be insufficient if the appellant does not also promptly prosecute the appeal").

8 In deciding whether a plaintiff's delay is unreasonable, a court should consider "the 9 justification for the delay, the extent of the plaintiff's advance knowledge of the basis for the 10 challenge, and whether the plaintiff exercised diligence[.]" Arizona Libertarian Party v. Reagan, 189 F. Supp. 3d 920, 923 (D. Ariz. 2016). Plaintiff's delay here is completely unreasonable; they 11 filed a near-identical complaint weeks ago, but didn't re-file until the last possible moment. And 12 13 the result of their delay will cause prejudice to all parties by likely delaying the announcement 14 of the results of the recount, pushing a potential evidentiary hearing to just before or just after a state holiday, further delaying the issuance of a certificate of election for this race, and 15 16 threatening the ability of the newly elected official to take office on January 2, 2023 as required 17 by the Arizona Constitution. The Court should dismiss their Statement.

18 19

II. Plaintiffs Do Not Allege a Viable Election Contest Based on Election Day Issues in Maricopa County.

Even if not barred entirely by laches, Plaintiffs' contest fails. Plaintiffs first contend (Count I) that there was either an erroneous count of votes or election board misconduct because "[u]pon information and belief," "various poll workers across Maricopa County refused or failed to 'check out' some or all . . . voters" who checked in at vote centers with printer problems on election day but did not cast their ballots there, thereby allegedly preventing provisional or early ballots those voters submitted elsewhere from being tallied. [Stmt. ¶¶ 68-71] They allege that "at least 126 of those voters" submitted provisional ballots that weren't counted, that at least 269 other voters who tried to cast their early ballots did not have their ballots counted, and that poll workers who did not "check out" these voters engaged in "misconduct." [*Id.* ¶¶ 69-72] According by Plaintiffs – again, <u>only</u> "upon information and belief" – votes that Maricopa County "improperly failed to tabulate are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for . . . Arizona Attorney General." [*Id.* ¶ 73]

Plaintiffs go out of their way to state that they "are not, by this lawsuit, alleging any fraud, 6 7 manipulation or other intentional wrongdoing." [Stmt. ¶ 1] Further, and fatal to their claims, the election day issues they identify are also not "misconduct" under the election contest statutes.¹ 8 Here again, the "returns of the election officers are prima facie correct," and courts apply a 9 10 presumption of "good faith and honesty of the members of the election board" that must control unless there is "clear and satisfactory proof." Hunt, 19 Ariz. at 268. But more importantly, 11 "honest mistakes or mere omissions on the part of the election officers" are not enough to 12 13 establish "misconduct." Findley v. Sorenson, 35 Ariz. 265, 269 (1929). That there were 14 unintentional errors with printer settings and that poll workers may have unintentionally made errors with voter "check ins" and "check outs" is simply not "misconduct" as a matter of law. 15 16 See Aguilera v. Fontes, No. CV 2020-014562, 2020 WL 11273092, at *4 (Ariz. Super. Ct. Nov. 30, 2020) ("A flawless election process is not a legal entitlement under any statute, EPM rule, 17 18 or other authority[.] Rather, a perfect process is an illusion.").

Even if Plaintiffs could prove that the election day errors in Maricopa County amount to "misconduct" or led to an "erroneous count" (which they did not and cannot do), those errors could not have changed the outcome of the election. The maximum number of voters implicated by Plaintiffs' allegations is 395, which is insufficient to show that the "result would have been different." This is true even if the Court assumes that all 395 of these unidentified and unknown voters would have cast a ballot for Hamadeh. And the Court simply cannot make such a sweeping

25

¹ Plaintiffs allege no facts supporting an "erroneous count," or miscount of votes, as to Count I.

and dangerous assumption, nor should the Court indulge any speculation from Plaintiffs about
 how allegedly impacted voters would have voted.² The Court should dismiss this Count.

3

5

6

III. Plaintiffs' Counts II-IV Are Speculative and Should Be Dismissed.

Next, Counts II-IV should all be dismissed because they rest on speculation, and there is no plausible allegation that the errors complained of would have any effect on the outcome of this race.

Plaintiffs fail to support Counts II-IV with "well-pleaded facts," instead relying on the
following conclusory allegations:

In Count II, Plaintiffs allege that "[u]pon information and belief, a material number of voters" were "required to vote a provisional ballot" after being "told by election workers that they were not registered to vote," that Maricopa County denied "certain voters" their right to cast a provisional ballot at all, and that "[u]pon information and belief," this error was "material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General." [Stmt. ¶¶ 77, 80-82]

- In Count III, Plaintiffs allege that "the counties' Ballot Duplication Boards have incorrectly transcribed a material number of voters selections in the race for Arizona Attorney General." [*Id.* ¶ 85] The only alleged fact anywhere in Plaintiffs' Statement that could even remotely relate to this claim is that in the 2020 presidential race, a small sampling of Maricopa County ballots had an apparent error rate of 0.41% in duplication.
 [*Id.* ¶ 41]
- 21 22

[•] In Count IV, Plaintiffs allege that "[u]pon information and belief, the counties' Electronic Adjudication Boards have incorrectly recorded a material number of voters selections in

²⁴ ² When, as here, a plaintiff claims that certain voters were deprived of an opportunity to cast a
²⁵ ballot, courts cannot rely on evidence that a voter would have voted for a particular candidate
²⁶ because "it would be an uncertain and dangerous experiment to attempt the task of ascertaining
²⁶ and giving effect to their intentions, as ballots actually cast and returned." *Babnew v. Linneman*,
¹⁵⁴ Ariz. 90, 93 (App. 1987) (quotation omitted).

the race for Arizona Attorney General," including by erroneously tabulating over-votes and designating certain votes as undervotes. [*Id.* ¶¶ 91-93] The only alleged facts anywhere in Plaintiffs' Statement that could even remotely relate to this claim are that (1) the statutory hand count audit of the <u>Governor's race</u> in <u>Maricopa County</u> revealed a single electronic adjudication error [*Id.* ¶ 49], (2) an unidentified "observer" of the adjudication process in an unidentified county "reported" issues with "electronic adjudication equipment" capturing certain voters' marks [*id.* ¶ 51], (3) that two ballots retabulated in Navajo County were identified that "should have been sent to adjudication [¶ 52], and (4) unidentified counties counted "undervotes" if "an unclear mark fills less than 14% of the oval." From these reed-thin facts, Plaintiffs allege that "[u]pon information and belief, votes included on improperly adjudicated ballots are material to, and potentially dispositive of" the race for Attorney General [*id.* ¶ 94].

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 All three of these claims turn on Plaintiffs' rank speculation both that these alleged errors occurred, and that they occurred in numbers sufficient to affect the outcome of the Attorney 14 15 General's race. This cannot satisfy Plaintiffs' burden. Plaintiffs, quite literally, have <u>no idea</u> that 16 any of these errors occurred at all with votes cast for Attorney General, and they certainly have 17 no idea how many votes were affected. There isn't a shred of credible factual support for any of 18 these claims, and this Court cannot credit Plaintiffs' wild "inferences or deductions that are not necessarily implied by well-pleaded facts" and "unreasonable inferences or unsupported 19 20 conclusions." Jeter, 211 Ariz. at 389 ¶ 4.

Applied here, it is unreasonable to simply presume, with no support, that a "material number" of voters in Maricopa County were denied provisional ballots (Count II). It is unreasonable to presume that a "material number" of ballots across all fifteen counties suffer from ballot duplication errors affecting the race for Attorney General in 2022 because two years ago, there were some errors found in a single race in a single county (Count III). And it is unreasonable to presume that a "material number" of ballots across all fifteen counties suffer

from electronic adjudication errors affecting the race for Attorney General because of isolated 1 2 instances of alleged adjudication issues in a different race altogether and unidentified other races, 3 or because of alleged tabulator settings that are within the county's administrative discretion. 4 (Count IV). If fanciful allegations of this sort could support an election contest claim, every 5 election would be subject to challenge by anyone unhappy with the result. But they don't; instead, election contests must rest on facts, not "mere suspicion and conjecture," Hunt, 19 Ariz. 6 7 at 264, which could never be enough to overcome the presumptive validity of the election 8 returns, *Moore*, 148 Ariz. at 159. As a result, the Court should also dismiss Counts II-IV.

9

IV. Plaintiffs' Requested Relief as to Count II Is Legally Unsupported

As to Count II, Plaintiffs also seek extraordinary relief – allowing some unidentified and
 unknown number of voters to cast provisional ballots weeks after election day. Such relief falls
 well outside the Court's jurisdiction in an election contest.

13 To begin, there is no statutory basis for the requested relief, which does not appear among the remedies listed in A.R.S. § 16-676. By enumerating the relief a court may grant, A.R.S. § 14 16-676 also serves to limit a court's discretion to fashion other remedies. See McNamara v. 15 16 Citizens Protecting Tax Payers, 236 Ariz. 192, 196 ¶ 13 (App. 2014) (noting that where "a statute 17 expressly provides a particular remedy or remedies, a court must be [wary] of reading others into 18 it") (cleaned up). And it is no answer for Plaintiffs to claim that they are entitled to a writ of 19 mandamus in the alternative; the election contest statutes provide the exclusive list of remedies 20 in such an action, and the Court lacks jurisdiction to go beyond that statute. See, e.g., Donaghey 21 v. Attorney General, 120 Ariz. 93 (1978).

The requested relief would also require the Court to invent, from whole cloth, an election schedule and process different from the ones established by Title 16, which no court is empowered to do (or has ever done). Plaintiffs' proposed remedy also implicates the concerns that animated the Arizona Supreme Court's decision in *Babnew*, discussed above. Allowing a self-identified subset of the electorate an opportunity to essentially cast their votes after the fact—once the gap between the candidates is known—would be a "dangerous experiment" that would amplify the potential and incentives for dishonesty and manipulation. *Babnew*, 154 Ariz. at 93. Indeed, Arizona's law setting strict timelines for the release of election results – and imposing criminal penalties for any premature release of results – was crafted to avoid this precise scenario where election results are known to the public, and could influence voter behavior, before the close of voting. *See* A.R.S. § 16-551(C); 2019 EPM, Ch. 12(I).

7 8 V.

Plaintiffs' Claims About Early Ballot Signature Verification Are Barred by Laches and Legally Baseless.

9 Finally, Plaintiffs contend (Count V) – again, based solely "on information and belief" – 10 that there were an unidentified number of "illegal votes" cast because "a material number of early ballots" were improperly validated by county recorders across the state based on a signature 11 match from "an election-related document that was not the voter's 'registration record,' such as 12 13 a prior early ballot affidavit of early ballot request form." [Stmt. ¶ 98] This claim rests on 14 Plaintiffs' presumption that a voter's "registration record" is narrowly limited to a voter's registration form, and further on the idea that any provision of the EPM that authorizes early 15 16 ballot validation based on other "specimen[s]" is invalid and unenforceable. [Id. ¶ 98-99] 17 Again, Plaintiffs say on "information and belief" that these ballots – a number they do not 18 identify – "is material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General." [Id. ¶ 101] And they ask for an order "proportionally reducing 19 20 the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude early ballots" validated in alleged violation of the law. [Id. ¶ 102] Count V fails for multiple, independent reasons.³ 21

³ The Secretary notes that this claim was raised in the Maricopa County case (*see* Exhibit 1, Count V), and that Plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed it without prejudice after reviewing arguments essentially identical to that which follows. During a hearing held on November 28, Plaintiffs' former counsel indicated that it was being dismissed so that it could be brought seeking only "prospective" relief (*i.e.*, for future elections). Why it's brought again here thus defies all explanation.

A. Laches.

1

2 To begin, the equitable doctrine of laches bars Count V. Plaintiffs waited years to 3 challenge this practice and provision of the EPM, their delay is unreasonable, and that delay causes significant prejudice to our elections system, the Courts, and above all, voters whom 4 5 Plaintiffs ask this Court to disenfranchise.

Here, Plaintiffs knew or should have known of this practice since at least 2019, when the 6 7 EPM was approved by the Secretary, Governor, and Attorney General and thus obtained the force and effect of law. In fact, the Secretary's office put out a summary document describing 8 the updates in the 2019 EPM that called out this provision.⁴ Courts uniformly reject challenges 9 10 to election procedures like this brought only after an election.

11 Indeed, "[c]hallenges concerning alleged procedural violations of the election process must be brought prior to the actual election." Sherman v. City of Tempe, 202 Ariz. 339, 342 ¶ 9 12 13 (2002) (citation omitted). Here, rather than seeking relief as to this alleged conflict between the 14 statute and EPM years or even months ago, Plaintiffs waited until after the election (and after Hamadeh lost his race) to sue. But "by filing their complaint after the completed election," 15 16 Plaintiffs "essentially ask [the Court] to overturn the will of the people, as expressed in the 17 election." Sherman, 202 Ariz. at 342 ¶ 11. The Court should thus reject Plaintiffs' attempt to 18 "subvert the election process by intentionally delaying a request for remedial action to see first whether they will be successful at the polls." McComb v. Superior Court In & For Ctv. Of 19 20 Maricopa, 189 Ariz. 518, 526 (App. 1997) (quotation omitted).

21 22

Plaintiffs' belated claim - brought after all votes have been counted - also causes significant prejudice to voters. Many Arizonans' early ballots were validated and tabulated based 23 on the challenged EPM provision, and throwing their votes out after-the-fact in service of 24 Plaintiffs' speculative claim would disenfranchise those voters. And while Arizona law generally

25

⁴https://azsos.gov/sites/default/files/Summary Updates to Draft 2019 Elections Procedures Manual.pdf (at p. 5).

requires early voters whose signatures cannot be verified receive notice and an opportunity to 1 2 "cure" those signatures, A.R.S. § 16-550(A), the unidentified voters implicated by Plaintiffs' 3 arguments here would have no such opportunity. Sotomayor v. Burns, 199 Ariz. 81, 83 ¶ 9 (2000) (finding claims barred by laches and considering fairness to the parties, the court, "election 4 officials, and the voters of Arizona").⁵ This would treat similarly situated voters differently and 5 violate both the equal protection and due process rights of voters who would not receive the 6 7 benefit of the statutory cure period. See Bush v. Gore, 531 U.S. 98, 104-05 (2000) ("Having once granted the right to vote on equal terms, the State may not, by later arbitrary and disparate 8 9 treatment, value one person's vote over that of another.").

Beyond that, "[t]he real prejudice caused by delay in election cases is to the quality of decision making in matters of great public importance," and "[t]he effects of such delay extend far beyond the interests of the parties. Waiting until the last minute to file an election challenge 'places the court in a position of having to steamroll through the delicate legal issues in order to meet the [applicable] deadline[s]." *Sotomayor*, 199 Ariz at 83 ¶ 9. (citation omitted). Late filings, such as Plaintiffs', "deprive judges of the ability to fairly and reasonably process and consider the issues . . . leaving little time for . . . wise decision making." *Id*.

- 17 18
- 19

²⁰ ⁵ Count V, which seeks to invalidate an unspecified number of early ballots is also little more than a belated and improper attempt to challenge early ballots in violation of Arizona's early 21 ballot challenge laws. Under Arizona law, efforts to challenge – and, thereby, invalidate – early ballots must be brought by designated political party challengers before the affidavit envelope is 22 opened and the ballot removed from the envelope for tabulation. See A.R.S. § 16-552(D). In any 23 event, a challenger's allegation that the affidavit signature does not match the voter's signature in the registration record – despite the county recorder's determination that the signatures do match 24 - is not a valid basis for an early ballot challenge. A.R.S. §§ 16-552(D) & 16-591; McEwen v. 25 Sainz, No. CV-22-163 (Santa Cruz Cty. Sup Ct.), Aug. 22, 2022 Minute Entry Order ("Signature verification is a function and responsibility of the County Recorder's office and not the bas[i]s 26 for an early ballot challenge") (attached as Exhibit 4).

B. Merits.

2 Even if not barred by laches, Plaintiffs' Count V claims and their challenge to the EPM 3 provision about early ballot signature verification are legally baseless. "A party attacking the 4 validity of an administrative regulation has a heavy burden." *Watahomigie v. Ariz. Bd. of Water* 5 *Quality Appeals*, 181 Ariz. 20, 24 (App. 1994). An agency's rulemaking powers "are measured" and limited by the statute creating them," Caldwell v. Arizona State Bd. of Dental Examiners, 6 7 137 Ariz. 396, 398 (App. 1983), and courts will not invalidate a regulation "unless its provisions" 8 cannot, by any reasonable construction, be interpreted in harmony with the legislative 9 mandate." Watahomigie, 181 Ariz. at 25. Plaintiffs fail to carry their heavy burden here.

10

1

11

1. Plaintiffs' interpretation of A.R.S. § 16-550 contradicts the statute's text and legislative history.

A.R.S. § 16-550(A) requires the county recorder to compare the signature on early ballot 12 13 affidavits with the signature in the voter's "registration record." Consistent with this 14 requirement, the 2019 EPM, at page 68, specifies that, besides the voter's registration form, the county recorder "should also consult additional known signatures from other official election 15 16 documents in the voter's registration record, such as signature rosters or early ballot/PEVL 17 request forms," when conducting early ballot signature verification. Plaintiffs' erroneous 18 argument [Stmt. ¶ 91] that this EPM provision conflicts with A.R.S. § 16-550(A) assumes that 19 the statutory reference to a voter's "registration record" is narrowly limited to the registration 20 form or some other singular document. But that assumption is contrary to both the plain text and 21 legislative history of A.R.S. § 16-550(A).

Nothing in the plain text of A.R.S. § 16-550(A) limits the county recorder's review to the
voter registration form; nor does A.R.S. § 16-550(A) or any other law prohibit county recorders
from consulting other official documents in the voter's registration record when verifying early
ballot affidavit signatures. Indeed, if, as Plaintiffs insist, the Legislature wanted to restrict the
county recorder's review to the registration form alone, it knows how to do so because that's

exactly what the law said before the Legislature explicitly amended it. Before 2019, A.R.S. § 1 2 16-550(A) required the county recorder to compare the signature on early ballot affidavits to 3 "the signature of the elector on his registration form." But in 2019, the Legislature amended A.R.S. § 16-550(A) to replace the reference to "the signature of the elector on his registration" 4 form" with today's construction referencing "the elector's registration record." S.B. 1054, 54th 5 6 Leg., 1st Reg. Sess. (Ariz. 2019). When interpreting a statute, "each word, phrase, clause, and 7 sentence must be given meaning so that no part . . . will be void, inert, redundant, or trivial." Ariz. Dep't of Revenue v. S. Point Energy Ctr., LLC, 228 Ariz. 436, 441 ¶ 18 (App. 2011) (citation 8 9 omitted). Here, the Legislature acted to expressly expand the county recorder's review from just 10 the "registration form" to documents in the "registration record." The Court should reject Plaintiffs' baseless effort to undo or render this legislative amendment meaningless. 11

12

2. Plaintiffs' interpretation would lead to absurd results.

13 As the state's Chief Election Officer, the Secretary must maintain the statewide voter registration database, which contains the voter registration record of all Arizona voters. See 14 A.R.S. § 16-142; EPM, Ch. 1(IV)(A). These registration records in the voter registration 15 16 database often include not just the voter's registration form, but also other - more recent -17 documents associated with the voter's registration and voting activity, such as the signature 18 roster or electronic poll book signatures, early ballot request forms, active early voting list 19 request forms, and early ballot affidavits from prior elections. That a voter's registration record 20 includes other documents beyond the registration form is apparent from the Legislature's usage 21 of the term "registration record" in other parts of Title 16. See, e.g., A.R.S. § 16-153(A) (allowing 22 certain voters to protect from public disclosure their personal identifying information, "including 23 any of that person's documents and voting precinct number contained in that person's voter 24 registration record" (emphasis added)); A.R.S. § 16-168(F) (protecting "the records containing 25 a voter's signature" within a voter's registration record (emphasis added).

Indeed, for long-time registered voters, the registration form in the voter's record may be 1 2 decades old, and their signature may degrade or change over time, as reflected in more recent 3 official documents in the registration record. Plaintiffs' insistence that officials may only consult 4 the registration form – and not any other official documents in the voter's registration record – 5 both defies the plain text and legislative history of A.R.S. § 16-550(A) and would lead to absurd results. Counties would have to reject early ballots based on signature comparison to an outdated 6 7 exemplar while ignoring more recent signatures available in the voter's registration record. 8 Further, Plaintiffs' argument would absurdly lead to some voters being required to cure their 9 signature for every early ballot they cast or face disenfranchisement because the county, 10 according to Plaintiffs, must <u>always</u> compare the voter's early ballot affidavit signature to their decades-old registration form, despite knowing that the voter's signature has changed based on 11 recent documents in the registration record. The Court should reject Plaintiffs' erroneous and 12 13 nonsensical reading of the law. Green Cross Med., Inc. v. Gally, 242 Ariz. 293, 297 ¶ 11 (App. 14 2017) (courts "will not interpret a statute in a manner that would lead to an absurd result.").

15

Speculation.

С.

Count V also fails because it is based entirely on speculation. As with "misconduct" and "erroneous count of votes," a contest based on "illegal votes" requires the contestant to prove (1) that illegal votes were cast and (2) that those illegal votes "were sufficient to change the outcome of the election." *Moore*, 148 Ariz. at 156. Plaintiffs don't – and obviously can't – allege a single fact to support this claim. This fundamental failure independently dooms these claims. *Cullen*, 218 Ariz. at 419 ¶ 7.

Beyond that, however, Plaintiffs provide no principled way for the Court to even consider this claim and the remedy Plaintiffs seek. Plaintiffs cavalierly ask this Court to "proportionally reduc[e] the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude early ballots" validated in alleged violation of the law. [Stmt. ¶ 101] But they don't allege how many early ballots were validated using a signature exemplar on something other than a voter registration form, and they could

never prove what that number is because the counties have no data that could ever show which 1 2 signature exemplar was used to verify a particular ballot. And this should go without saying, but 3 it would be impracticable for counties to re-do early ballot signature verification at this stage. 4 Granting Plaintiffs' request would therefore require the Court to: (1) speculate how many early 5 ballots would have been rejected had counties applied Plaintiffs' absurd interpretation of A.R.S. 6 § 16-550(A); and then (2) speculate how these voters would have voted in the Attorney General's 7 race to "proportionally reduce" the vote totals. The Court should reject Plaintiffs' request to 8 apply conjecture upon conjecture to overturn the election result.

9 10

VI. The Election Contest Statutes Do Not Give Contestants Carte Blanche to Conduct Discovery or Inspect Ballots.

11 As the Secretary notes throughout the Motion, Plaintiffs' election contest is little more than a claim in search of a factual basis. Plaintiffs may attempt to evade dismissal by arguing 12 13 that they should be afforded an opportunity to conduct discovery before the motions are heard. 14 A plaintiff may not, however, use an invalid pleading as a springboard for discovery. See Lakewood Cmty. Ass'n v. Orozco, No. 1 CA-CV 19-0194, 2020 WL 950225, at *1 (Ariz. Ct. 15 16 App. Feb. 27, 2020) (holding that "[a] motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) tests the allegations 17 of a pleading by assuming the truth of the well-pleaded facts in the complaint <u>before</u> the parties 18 engage in discovery" and "[t]hus, no discovery was necessary or appropriate" before a trial court 19 rules on such a motion) (emphasis added).

At bottom, this case should proceed no further and be immediately dismissed. Plaintiffs may seek an opportunity to inspect ballots pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-677 in hopes of securing evidence to support their wishful thinking and speculation. This statute, however, should not be read to allow such discovery if the election contest itself is not cognizable. Although no Arizona appellate court has addressed the issue, courts have elsewhere held that election contests must pass the pleading threshold to justify discovery. For instance, the Minnesota Supreme Court recently denied a candidate the opportunity to inspect ballots under a similar law because of deficiencies in the candidate's election contest allegations. *Bergstrom v. McEwen*, 960 N.W.2d
 556 (Minn. 2021). The court held the candidate's pleading included only speculative allegations
 unsupported by facts or evidence, and also held that the complaint must first meet the pleading
 requirements before ballot inspection was permitted. *Id.* at 565–66.

5 Minnesota is not alone – the highest courts of many other states agree. See, e.g., Zahray 6 v. Emricson, 182 N.E.2d 756, 757-58 (Ill. 1962) (election contest "cannot be employed to allow 7 a party, on mere suspicion, to have the ballots opened and subjected to scrutiny to find evidence 8 upon which to make a tangible charge"); McClendon v. McKeown, 323 S.W.2d 542, 545 (Ark. 1959) (court shouldn't allow ballot inspection and a recount based on the mere allegation "that 9 10 after said cancellation and retabulation, the Petitioner verily believes that he will have received more votes[.]"); Cruse v. Richards, 37 P.2d 382, 383–84 (Colo. 1934) ("In a contest proceeding 11 it is always necessary to allege facts which will enable the court to determine that a different 12 13 result would follow in the vote by reason of such alleged facts.... Courts cannot properly embark 14 on a mere fishing expedition by opening up ballot boxes when there is an utter lack of specific allegations as to the distribution of the votes."); Gollmar's Election, Case of, 175 A. 510, 513 15 16 (Pa. 1934) ("The pleadings before us would seem only an effort to place the situation in such a 17 light as to justify a voyage of exploration into a large number of ballot boxes, in the hope of an 18 ultimate discovery. Such is not province of a contest[.]").

Conclusion

19

Arizona has a "strong public policy favoring stability and finality of election results," Ariz. City Sanitary Dist, 224 Ariz. at 334 ¶ 12, which means that the judiciary must be wary of interfering with presumptively valid election results. The burden on an election contestant is thus exceedingly high, and here, is a burden that Plaintiffs failed to meet. For all the reasons discussed above, the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' "election contest" with prejudice, and without leave to amend. The Secretary further reserves her right to seek an award of fees against Plaintiffs and their counsel under Rule 11, Ariz. R. Civ. P., and A.R.S. § 12-349.

- 17 -

1			
2	Respectfully submitted this 13th day of December, 2022.		
3	COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC		
4	By /s/ D. Andrew Gaona		
5	D. Andrew Gaona		
6	STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER		
7	Sambo (Bo) Dul		
8	Attorneys for Defendant Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs		
9 10	ORIGINAL efiled and served via electronic means this 13th day of December, 2022, upon:		
11	Honorable Lee F. Jantzen		
12	Mohave County Superior Court c/o Danielle Lecher		
13	division4@mohavecourts.com		
14	David A. Warrington		
15	Gary Lawkowski Dhillon Law Group, Inc.		
16	2121 Eisenhower Avenue, Suite 608 Alexandria, Virginia 22314		
17	DWarrington@dhillonlaw.com GLawkowski@dhillonlaw.com		
18	Timothy A. La Sota		
19	Timothy A. La Sota, PLC 2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305		
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 tim@timlasota.com		
21			
22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants		
23	Daniel C. Barr Alexis E. Danneman		
24	Austin Yost Samantha J. Burke		
25 26	Perkins Coie LLP 2901 North Central Avenue Suite 2000		
	- 18 -		

1	Phoenix, AZ 85012
2	dbarr@perkinscoie.com adanneman@perkinscoie.com
3	ayost@perkinscoie.com
	sburke@perkinscoie.com Attorneys for Kris Mayes
4	
5	Joseph La Rue Joe Branco
6	Karen Hartman-Tellez Maricopa County Attorney's Office
7	225 West Madison St. Phoenix, AZ 85003
8	laruej@mcao.maricopa.gov brancoj@mcao.maricopa.gov
9	hartmank@mcao.maricopa.gov
10	<u>c-civilmailbox@mcao.maricopa.gov</u> Attorneys for Maricopa County
11	Celeste Robertson
	Joseph Young Apache County Attorney's Office
12	245 West 1st Šouth St. Johns, AZ 85936
13	crobertson@apachelaw.net
14	jyoung@apachelaw.net Attorneys for Defendant, Larry Noble, Apache County Recorder,
15	and Apache County Board of Supervisors
16	Christine J. Roberts Paul Correa
17	Cochise County Attorney's Office P.O. Drawer CA
18	Bisbee, AZ 85603
19	croberts@cochise.az.gov pcorrea@cochise.az.gov
20	Attorneys for Defendant, David W. Stevens, Cochise County Recorder, and Cochise County Board of Supervisors
	Bill Ring
21	Coconino County Attorney's Office 110 East Cherry Avenue
22	Flagstaff, AZ 86001
23	wring@coconino.az.gov Attorney for Defendant, Patty Hansen, Coconino County Recorder,
24	and Coconino County Board of Supervisors
25	Jeff Dalton Gila County Attorney's Office
26	1400 East Ásh Street Globe, AZ 85501
	- 19 -
	- 1 / -

1	jdalton@gilacountyaz.gov
2	Attorney for Defendant, Sadie Jo Bingham, Gila County Recorder, and Gila County Board of Supervisors
3	Jean Roof Graham County Attorney's Office
4	800 West Main Street Safford, AZ 85546
5	<u>jroof@graham.az.gov</u> Attorneys for Defendant, Wendy John, Graham County Recorder,
6	and Graham County Board of Supervisors
7	Scott Adams Greenlee County Attorney's Office
8	P.O. Box 1717 Clifton, AZ 85533
9	sadams@greenlee.az.gov Attorney for Defendant, Sharie Milheiro, Greenlee County Recorder,
10	and Greenlee County Board of Supervisors
11	Ryan N. Dooley La Paz County Attorney's Office
12	1320 Kofa Avenue Parker, AZ 85344
13	<u>rdooley@lapazcountyaz.org</u> Attorney for Defendant, Richard Garcia, La Paz County Recorder,
14	and La Paz County Board of Supervisors
15	Ryan Esplin Mohave County Attorney's Office Civil Division
16	P.O. Box 7000 Kingman, AZ 86402-7000
17	EspliR@mohave.gov Attorney for Defendant, Kristi Blair, Mohave County Recorder,
18	and Mohave County Board of Supervisors
19 20	Jason Moore Navajo County Attorney's Office
20	P.O. Box 668 Holbrook, AZ 86025-0668
21	jason.moore@navajocountyaz.gov Attorney for Defendant, Michael Sample, Navajo County Recorder, and Navajo County Rogard of Supernisors
22 23	and Navajo County Board of Supervisors Daniel Jurkowitz
23 24	Ellen Brown Javier Gherna
24 25	Pima County Attorney's Office 32 N. Stone #2100
23 26	Tucson, AZ 85701 Daniel.Jurkowitz@pcao.pima.gov
20	Ellen.Brown@pcao.pima.gov
	- 20 -

1	Javier.Gherna@pcao.pima.gov
2	Attorney for Defendant Gabriella Cázares-Kelley, Pima County Recorder, and Pima County Board of Supervisors
3	Craig Cameron Scott Johnson
4	Allen Quist Jim Mitchell
5	Pinal County Attorney's Office 30 North Florence Street
6	Florence, AZ 85132
7	<u>craig.cameron@pinal.gov</u> <u>scott.m.johnson@pinal.gov</u> <u>allen.quist@pinal.gov</u>
8	james.mitchell@pinal.gov Attorneys for Defendant, Dana Lewis, Pinal County Recorder,
9	and Pinal County Board of Supervisors
10	Kimberly Hunley Laura Roubicek
11	Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office 2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 201
12	Nogales, AZ 85621-1090 khunley@santacruzcountyaz.gov
13	<u>Iroubicek@santacruzcountyaz.gov</u> <u>Attorneys for Defendant, Suzanne Sainz, Santa Cruz County Recorder,</u>
14	and Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors
15	Colleen Connor Thomas Stoxen
16	Yavapai County Attorney's Office 255 East Gurley Street, 3 rd Floor
17	Prescott, AZ 86301
18	Colleen.Connor@yavapaiaz.gov Thomas.Stoxen@yavapaiaz.gov Attorney for Defendent, Michalla M. Burghill, Veyapai County Recorder
19	Attorney for Defendant, Michelle M. Burchill, Yavapai County Recorder, and Yavapai County Board of Supervisors
20	Bill Kerekes Yuma County Attorney's Office
21	198 South Main Street Yuma, AZ 85364
22	bill.kerekes@yumacountyaz.gov
23	Attorney for Defendant, Richard Colwell, Yuma County Recorder, and Yuma County Board of Supervisors
24	/s/ Diana Hanson
25	
26	
	01
	- 21 -

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Simple Sector	TY OF MARICOPA
15 16	ABRAHAM HAMADEH, an individual; and REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE, a federal political party committee,	No
17	Plaintiffs/Contestants, v.	STATEMENT OF FLECTION
18	KRIS MAYES,	STATEMENT OF ELECTION CONTEST
19	Defendant/Contestee,	(Expedited Election Proceeding
20 21	and	Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-672, et seq.)
22	KATIE HOBBS, in her official capacity as the	
23	Secretary of State; LARRY NOBLE, in his official capacity as the Apache County	
24	Recorder; APACHE COUNTY BOARD OF	
25	SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; DAVID W. STEVENS, in his official capacity	
26	as Cochise County Recorder; COCHISE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in	
27	their official capacity; PATTY HANSEN, in	
28	her official capacity as the Coconino County	
	1	

1 Recorder; COCONINO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 2 SADIE JO BINGHAM, in her official capacity as Gila County Recorder; GILA 3 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 4 their official capacity; WENDY JOHN, in her official capacity as Graham County Recorder; 5 GRAHAM COUNTY BOARD OF 6 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; SHARIE MILHEIRO, in her official capacity 7 as Greenlee County Recorder; GREENLEE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 8 their official capacity; RICHARD GARCIA, 9 in his capacity as the La Paz County Recorder; LA PAZ COUNTY BOARD OF 10 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 11 STEPHEN RICHER, in his official capacity as the Maricopa County Recorder; MARICOPA 12 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; KRISTI BLAIR, in her 13 official capacity as the Mohave County 14 Recorder; MOHAVE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 15 MICHAEL SAMPLE, in his official capacity 16 as Navajo County Recorder; NAVAJO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in 17 their official capacity; GABRIELLA CAZARES-KELLY, in her official capacity 18 as the Pima County Recorder; PIMA 19 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; DANA LEWIS, in her 20 official capacity as the Pinal County Recorder; 21 PINAL COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity; 22 SUZANNE SAINZ, in her official capacity as the Santa Cruz County Recorder; SANTA 23 CRUZ COUNTY BOARD OF 24 SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity: MICHELLE M. BURCHILL, in her official 25 capacity as the Yavapai County Recorder; YAVAPAI 26 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, in their official capacity: 27 RICHARD COLWELL, in his official capacity as the Yuma County Recorder; and 28

STATECRAFT

YUMA	COUNTY	BOARD	OF
SUPERVI	SORS, in their	official capacit	у,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs hereby state and allege as follows:

SUMMARY OF THE CASE

1. The Plaintiffs are not, by this lawsuit, alleging any fraud, manipulation or other intentional wrongdoing that would impugn the outcomes of the November 8, 2022 general election.

2. The election was, however, afflicted with certain errors and inaccuracies in the management of some polling place operations, and in the processing and tabulation of some ballots. The cumulative effect of these mistakes is material to the race for Arizona Attorney General, where the candidates are separated by just 510 votes out of more than 2.5 million ballots cast—a margin of two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%).

3. When, as here, an accretion of erroneous ballot processing or tallying
determinations is potentially dispositive of an election for public office, Arizona law
permits any elector to initiate a contest proceeding to ensure that inaccuracies or illegalities
in the canvassed returns are judicially remedied, and the declared result conforms to the will
of the electorate. See A.R.S. §§ 16-672, et seq.

4. The Recorders and Boards of Supervisors of the fifteen counties (collectively,
the "<u>County Defendants</u>") have, in at least five respects, caused the unlawful denial of the
franchise to certain qualified electors, erroneously tallied certain ballots, and included for
tabulation in the canvass certain illegal votes in connection with the election for the office
of Arizona Attorney General. Specifically:

a. The Maricopa County Defendants have improperly disqualified provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by individuals who, as a direct and proximate result of poll worker error, were incorrectly

designated in electronic pollbooks as having previously voted in the same election;

- b. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants have improperly and unconstitutionally deprived individuals whose eligibility could not be confirmed of an opportunity to cast a provisional ballot;
- c. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants have erroneously transposed and improperly tabulated voters' indicated candidate selections when duplicating certain ballots that could not be electronically tabulated; and

d. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants have erroneously determined and improperly tabulated voters' indicated candidate selections when adjudicating certain ballots that could not be electronically tabulated.

e. Upon information and belief, the County Defendants improperly accepted for processing and tabulation certain early ballots that were accompanied by affidavits presenting a signature that did not match the signature on file in the putative voter's "registration record." A.R.S. § 16-550(A).

19 5. Immediate judicial intervention is necessary to secure the accuracy of the
20 results of the November 8, 2022 general election, and to ensure that candidate who received
21 the highest number of lawful votes is declared the next Arizona Attorney General.

JURISDICTION

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article 6, § 14 of the
Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. § 16-672(A)-(B), and Arizona Rule of Special Action
Procedure 3.

7. Venue lies in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-672(B).

PARTIES

4

8. Plaintiff/Contestant Abraham Hamadeh is a qualified elector of the State of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

26

27

Arizona and of Maricopa County, and resides in Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona.¹ Mr. Hamadeh is the Republican Party's nominee for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election.

9. Plaintiff Republican National Committee is a national political party committee that is responsible for the strategic and day-to-day operation of the Republican Party at the national level and for promoting the election of Republican candidates for office in Arizona and across the United States.

10. Defendant/Contestee Kris Mayes is the Democratic Party's nominee for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election.

11. Defendant Katie Hobbs is the Secretary of State of Arizona, and is named in this action in her official capacity only. The Secretary of State is the public officer charged by law with conducting the canvass of the returns for statewide offices and with declaring the persons elected to such offices. *See* A.R.S. §§ 16-648, 16-650.

14 12. The county recorders in each of Apache County, Cochise County, Coconino 15 County, Gila County, Graham County, Greenlee County, La Paz County, Maricopa County, Mohave County, Navajo County, Pima County, Pinal County, Santa Cruz County, Yavapai 16 17 County, and Yuma County are named in this action in their respective official capacities 18 only. The County Recorder is the principal elections officer of his or her county and is 19 responsible for overseeing and directing numerous components of election administration 20 within the jurisdiction, to include the processing, verification and tabulation of early ballots, 21 and the appointment and oversight of Ballot Duplication Boards and Electronic 22 Adjudication Boards. See A.R.S. §§ 16-541, -542, -543, -544, -550, -602, -621.

13. The boards of supervisors in each of Apache County, Cochise County,
Coconino County, Gila County, Graham County, Greenlee County, La Paz County,
Maricopa County, Mohave County, Navajo County, Pima County, Pinal County, Santa
Cruz County, Yavapai County, and Yuma County are named in this action in their

¹ Mr. Hamadeh's full residential address location is protected from disclosure pursuant to
 A.R.S. § 16-153.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

respective official capacities only. Each Board of Supervisors is charged by law with conducting elections within its jurisdictional boundaries, to include appointing polling location election boards, overseeing the operations of polling locations on Election Day, and canvassing the returns of elections in the county. See A.R.S. §§ 11-251(3), 16-446, -447(A), -511, -531, -642, -645, -646.

14. Upon information and belief, the fifteen Boards of Supervisors will, on or before November 28, 2022, canvass the returns of the November 8, 2022 general election in their respective counties, and deliver the canvass results to the Secretary of State.

9 15. Upon information and belief, the Secretary of State will, on or before 10 December 5, 2022, canvass the returns of the November 8, 2022 general election and 11 declare the Contestee elected to the office of Arizona Attorney General.

For the reasons set forth herein, the canvass upon which such declaration will 12 16. be premised is afflicted by election board misconduct, the tallying of unlawful ballots, and 13 the erroneous counting of votes, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), (A)(4), and 14 (A)(5). Upon information and belief, a complete and correct tabulation of all lawful ballots 15 16 will establish that Contestant Hamadeh received the highest number of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election. 17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

Erroneous Vote Counts Due to Pervasive Poll Worker Error in Maricopa County

Broadly speaking, the voting process in Arizona is bifurcated; qualified 20 17. 21 electors may cast either an "early ballot" or an Election Day ballot.

22 18. A qualified elector may cast an "early ballot" at any time during the 27 days 23 preceding the election. Early ballots may be obtained and returned via mail. Alternatively, early ballots may be cast in-person at designated early voting locations, dropped off at 25 official drop box locations during the early voting period, or dropped off at polling locations on Election Day. In-person early voting concludes on the Friday preceding the election, 27 although voters confronting unforeseen exigencies that would prevent them from voting in-

6

STATECRAF

19

24

person on Election Day may cast a ballot at an "emergency" early voting location during 2 the ensuing three-day period. See A.R.S. § 16-542.

19. As an alternative to early voting, voters may obtain and cast a ballot in-person 3 4 at a polling location on Election Day.

20. Every polling location is staffed by an election board consisting of an inspector, marshal, and two judges. The inspector is the chairman of the election board. See A.R.S. §§ 16-531, -534(A).

21. Maricopa County utilized a "voting center" model in the November 8, 2022 8 general election. Under this framework, a qualified elector of Maricopa County may appear 9 10 at any designated voting center site within the county, regardless of whether the voting 11 center is located within the precinct in which the voter resides. Once the voter's identity is 12 verified and s/he "checks in" by signing the electronic pollbook (e-pollbook), the poll 13 workers print a customized ballot that includes all candidate races and ballot propositions 14 for which the elector is eligible to vote. E-pollbooks reflect in real-time an elector's status 15 as having voted or not voted, and are electronically synchronized across all polling locations 16 countywide.

22. 17 After marking their ballots at the voting center, voters feed them into the 18 tabulation machine, which instantaneously processes and tabulates all properly indicated 19 selections on the ballot.

23. 20 Shortly after voting hours commenced at 6:00 a.m. on Election Day, the ballot 21 tabulation devices stationed at approximately 70 voting centers in Maricopa County (*i.e.*, 22 roughly one third of all voting centers in Maricopa County) began to malfunction. 23 Specifically, the tabulators regularly rejected or otherwise failed to process ballots that, on 24 their face, had been properly and sufficiently completed.

25 24. These extensive and significant disruptions to Election Day operations in 26 Maricopa County have been widely reported by national and local news media outlets. See, 27 e.g., Caitlin McFall, "Maricopa County, Arizona, Officials Say 20% of Voting Locations 28 Experiencing 'Hiccups' with Tabulators," FOX NEWS, Nov. 8, 2022, available at

7

1

5

6

- 1 https://www.foxnews.com/politics/maricopa-county-arizona-officials-say-20-votinglocations-experiencing-hiccups-tabulators; Sasha Hupka, "Early Glitches with Maricopa 2 County Election Machines Frustrate Voters," ARIZ. REPUBLIC, Nov. 9, 2022, available at 3 https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/elections/2022/11/08/arizona-election-4 problems-maricopa-county-tabulator-issues/8302133001/; Tweet of Brahm Resnick, Nov. 5 6 8. 2022 1:37 at available p.m., at https://twitter.com/brahmresnik/status/1590081166295859200 (reporting that "about 60 7 8 voting centers were hit with tabulator problems").
- 9 25. Voters whose ballots could not be read by a malfunctioning tabulator were
 10 confronted with five possible options.
 - a. First, the voter could choose simply to wait until the tabulator was restored to working order—an uncertain contingency that could take hours.
 - b. Second, the voter could deposit the voted ballot into a separate receptacle (known as "Door 3") for later tabulation at the Central Counting Center, although the voters selecting this option would be unable to visually and personally confirm the tabulation of their ballots.
 - c. Third, the voter could request to utilize an accessible voting device (which is designed primarily for persons with disabilities), upon which they could complete and cast a ballot electronically. *See* A.R.S. § 16-447. Upon information and belief, however, most or all voting centers in Maricopa County maintained only one accessible voting device on site and many locations lacked supplies necessary for the proper operation of such devices. Maricopa County did not instruct voters of this option.
 - d. Fourth, the voter could spoil his or her initial ballot, "check out" of the voting center, and present at another voting center, where s/he could check-in and vote a full regular ballot.

STATECRAI

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

e. Fifth, if the voter had previously obtained an early ballot, he or she could "check out" of the voting center, vote that early ballot, execute the accompanying early ballot affidavit, and deposit it at the voting center for later processing and tabulation at the Central Counting Center. See A.R.S. §§ 16-547, -548.

26. Importantly, however, the fourth and fifth options required poll workers at the initial polling location to "check out" the voter—*i.e.*, indicate in the e-pollbook that the voter left the polling location without casting a ballot. If the voter is not checked out, he or she is recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted. Consequently, if the voter subsequently presents at a different polling location, she or he will be permitted to cast only a provisional ballot, which Maricopa County will **not** tabulate. *See* A.R.S. § 16-584(D). Similarly, if the voter is not "checked out" and then deposits a completed early ballot, that early ballot will be voided.

Poll workers at some polling locations were unaware of the process for
checking a voter out of the polling location. Upon information and belief, Maricopa County
poll workers received no training (or, alternatively, inadequate training) on the process for
checking voters out of a polling place.

18 28. Across Maricopa County, numerous qualified electors "checked in" at a
19 voting center but did not either "check out" or cast a ballot.

20 29. Upon information and belief, poll workers failed to properly "check out"
21 numerous Maricopa County voters who chose to spoil their ballots and vote by alternative
22 means. This pervasive and systematic error directly and proximately resulted in three
23 recurring scenarios in which qualified electors were unlawfully and unconstitutionally
24 disenfranchised.

 a. Upon information and belief, at least 146 voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later presented at a different polling location were required to vote using provisional

S INTECRAFT

ballots, which will not be counted because the elector was erroneously recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted.

b. Upon information and belief, at least 273 voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later deposited a completed early ballot at the same or a different voting center had their early ballots voided and not tabulated because the elector was erroneously recorded in the e-pollbook as having already voted.

c. Upon information and belief, a material number of voters who were not properly "checked out" at their initial polling location and who later presented at a different polling location were denied an opportunity to cast a provisional ballot at all, in violation of Arizona law. A.R.S. § 16-584.

13 30. At 8:01 a.m. on Election Day, as the disorder in Maricopa County voting 14 centers was escalating quickly, Bill Gates, the Chairman of the Maricopa County Board of 15 Supervisors, tweeted the following statement:

> If you're at a polling place experiencing an issue with a tabulator, you have three options & your vote will be counted in each. 1) stay where you are and wait for tabulator to come online 2) drop your ballot in the secure slot (door 3) on tabulator 3) go to a nearby voting center.

See Exhibit A. 20

31. Chairman Gates's tweet was incomplete because it omitted two of the solutions available to affected voters (namely, using the accessible voting device, and 22 dropping off a mail-in ballot). It was materially misleading because it stated that the voters 23 could simply "go to a nearby voting center" without specifying that voters must check out 24 of a polling location before traveling to a second location. And it was objectively false in 25 assuring voters that their "vote will be counted in each" contingency when, as described 26 above, Chairman Gates's instructions foreseeably resulted in the disenfranchisement of a 27 significant number of qualified electors who followed his instructions. 28

STATECRAF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

STATECRAFT

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

32. The Republican National Committee and several candidates for statewide
 office initiated emergency proceedings to extend polling hours to mitigate the effects of the
 confusion and delays engendered by the compounded effects of tabulator malfunctions and
 poll worker error. This Court denied the requested relief. See Republican National
 Committee v. Richer, Maricopa County Civil Action No. CV2022-014827.

33. By inducing voters to leave polling locations and then denying—through a consistent and erroneous practice of failing to properly implement "check-out" procedures—these qualified electors their right to duly cast a ballot for tabulation, the Maricopa County Defendants engaged (through their election boards) in cognizable "misconduct," and wrongfully excluded valid and legally sufficient votes from the canvass in the race for Arizona Attorney General. *See* A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), (A)(5).

Ballot Duplication Errors

34. Occasionally, voted ballots are received in a damaged or defective form—for example, tears, wrinkles or perforations in the ballot paper, or stains from spilled beverages or other foreign substances—that renders them unreadable by electronic tabulation devices.

16 35. To process such ballots, the County Recorder must establish a Ballot Duplication Board that consists of at least two individuals who are not members of the same 17 18 political party. The Ballot Duplication Board must transpose the voter's indicated electoral 19 selections from the damaged or defective ballot onto a new duplicate ballot. Both the original and duplicate ballots are assigned a shared unique serial number; the duplicate 20 21 ballot is labeled as such and then fed to the tabulator for electronic tabulation. See A.R.S. 22 § 16-621(A); Ariz. Sec'y of State, 2019 ELECTIONS PROCEDURES MANUAL (rev. Dec. 2019) ["EPM"] at p. 201. 23

36. In the 2020 general election for presidential electors, Ballot Duplication
Boards in Maricopa County erroneously transposed at least 0.37% of ballots designated for
duplication.

27 37. Upon information and belief, a substantially similar or greater proportion of
28 ballots designated for duplication in the November 8, 2022 general election have been

erroneously transposed, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

Electronic Adjudication Errors

38. Voters sometime mark their ballots in a manner that precludes an accurate electronic tabulation. Two frequent causes of impeded electronic tabulation are (a) apparent "over-votes," in which the tabulator detects that a voter may have marked more than the permissible number of selections for a given office or ballot measure, and (b) ballots that the tabulator has identified as either blank or containing unclear markings. When one or both of these circumstances is present, the ballot is referred for electronic adjudication.

39. Electronic adjudications are carried out on a secure computer application and
are conducted by an Electronic Adjudication Board that is appointed by the County
Recorder and consists of one inspector and two judges who are members of different
political parties. *See* A.R.S. § 16-621(B)(2).

14 40. The Electronic Adjudication Board examines a digital image of the ballot and 15 assesses voter selections that the tabulator was unable to definitively ascertain. If the voter's intent is "clear," the Electronic Adjudication Board ensures that the voter's intended 16 17 selections are properly indicated and tabulated. If the voter's intent cannot be sufficiently 18 verified, the ambiguous selections are not tabulated. See id.; Ariz. Sec'y of State, 19 ELECTRONIC ADJUDICATION ADDENDUM TO THE 2019 ELECTIONS PROCEDURES MANUAL (Feb. 202020) 2-3. at available pp. at https://azsos.gov/sites/default/files/Electronic Adjudication Addendum to the 2019 Ele 21 ctions Procedures Manual.pdf. 22

41. Actual "over-votes" are invalid and may not be counted. See A.R.S. § 16610.

42. Upon information and belief, one or more selections in up to 15% of all ballots
cast in the November 8, 2022 general election in Maricopa County have been referred to
electronic adjudication in connection with at least one candidate contest, judicial retention
or ballot proposition appearing on the ballot.

43. By statute, the County Recorder must conduct a hand count audit of selected candidate races across a randomly generated sample of (a) 5,000 of early ballots and (b) ballots cast at 2% of voting centers in the county. *See* A.R.S. § 16-602(B), (F). The purpose of the hand count is to verify the accuracy of tallies generated by tabulator devices and determinations by various ballot processing boards.

44. The hand count audit following the November 8, 2022 general election has revealed at least one instance in which the Maricopa County Electronic Adjudication Board incorrectly characterized the voter's ostensible intent. Specifically, the Electronic Adjudication Board had tabulated the disputed ballot as a vote for gubernatorial candidate Katie Hobbs. As the hand count audit found, however, the ballot contained both an indicated preference for Hobbs and an accompanying write-in vote for a different candidate, Kari Lake. The Electronic Adjudication Board was required by law to designate the gubernatorial contest as over-voted and not to tabulate a vote for any candidate in that race. *See* Exhibit B p. 32.

15 45. The Attorney General contest was not among the races randomly selected for 16 inclusion in Maricopa County's hand count audit but, upon information and belief, a similar 17 and proportionate rate of erroneous determinations afflict the broader corpus of all ballots 18 that underwent electronic adjudication, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of 19 certain votes cast in connection with the election for Arizona Attorney General.

46. Additionally, an observer of the ballot adjudication process has reported that
tabulation and electronic adjudication equipment has been unable to clearly capture the
ballot markings made by some voters who did not use the writing implements recommended
by elections officials. Although it is likely that such markings can be assessed and correctly
tabulated by a manual inspection of the affected ballots, elections officials have not
undertaken a manual inspection of such ballots and therefore have failed to correctly
tabulate the votes marked on such ballots.

SIMTECRAF

Unverified Early Ballot Affidavit Signatures

47. An elector who chooses to cast an early ballot must enclose the ballot in an envelope containing a sworn affidavit, signed by the voter, that certifies the voter's qualifications and personal signature affixation, and affirms his or her understanding of the criminal prohibition against casting multiple ballots in the same election. See A.R.S. § 16-547(A).

48. Upon receipt of a returned early ballot envelope, the County Recorder or the Recorder's designee must "compare the signatures thereon with the signature of the elector on the elector's registration record." A.R.S. § 16-550(A). If "the signatures correspond," 10 the early ballot is processed and tabulated. Id. If "the signature is inconsistent with the elector's signature on the elector's registration record," then the early ballot is invalid and 12 cannot be tabulated, unless the putative voter cures the signature discrepancy within five business days of an election or federal office (or the third business day after any other 14 election). Id.

49. 15 Upon information and belief, a material number of early ballots were accompanied by an affidavit containing a signature that the County Recorder or his/her 16 17 designee concluded was inconsistent with the signature presented on the voter's 18 "registration record." These early ballots were processed and accepted for tabulation, 19 however, because the County Recorder or Recorder's designee determined that the affidavit 20 signature matched a signature on a *different* document that was *not* the voter's "registration" 21 record"-such as an early ballot affidavit submitted in connection with a previous election 22 or a pollbook signature roster. See EPM at p. 68.

23 50. To the extent the EPM purports to authorize the County Recorder to use for 24 the verification of early ballot affidavits signature specimens that are not contained in a voter's "registration record," it is unlawful and unenforceable. See Leach v. Hobbs, 250 25 26 Ariz. 572, 576, ¶ 21 (2021) ("[A]n EPM regulation that exceeds the scope of its statutory 27 authorization or contravenes an election statute's purpose does not have the force of law.").

STATECRAF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

1 51. Early ballots accompanied by uncured affidavit signatures that do not match the signature on the putative voter's "registration record" are legally insufficient and cannot 2 3 be tabulated. **COUNT I** 4 [Maricopa County Only] **Erroneous Count of Votes and Election Board Misconduct: Wrongful** 5 **Disqualification of Provisional and Early Ballots** 6 (Ariz. Const. art. II, §§ 13, 21; A.R.S. §§ 12-2021, 16-672(A)(1), (A)(5)) 7 The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set 52. 8 forth herein. 9 53. Article 2, Section 13 of the Arizona Constitution secures the equal "privileges 10 or immunities" of all citizens. 11 54. The Arizona Constitution guarantees "the right of suffrage" and mandates that 12 "[a]ll elections shall be free and equal." Ariz. Const. art. II, § 21. 13 55. "Arizona's constitutional right to a 'free and equal' election is implicated 14 when votes are not properly counted." Chavez v. Brewer, 222 Ariz. 309, 320, ¶ 34 (App. 15 2009). 16 56. Pursuant to these constitutional precepts, all qualified electors who have 17 properly verified their identity and otherwise are eligible to vote in an election are entitled 18 to cast a regular ballot that will be duly processed and tabulated. See Ariz. Const. art. II, §§ 19 13, 21; A.R.S. §§ 16-579, -580(B). 20 57. Upon presenting at a voting center and verifying a prospective voter's 21 identity, poll workers must "check in" the voter on the e-pollbook, which records in real-22 time whether the elector has cast a ballot in this election. 23 58. After checking in, obtaining, and properly completing a ballot, numerous 24 voters across Maricopa County had their ballots rejected by malfunctioning electronic 25 tabulation devices. Certain of these voters chose to spoil their ballots and to either (a) leave 26 the voting center and present at a different polling location with functioning tabulators or 27 (b) cast a previously issued early ballot instead. 28 15

STATEORAEI

59. Under Arizona law and Maricopa County's official policies, poll workers were required to "check out" these voters, which would enable them to obtain and cast a regular ballot at a different polling location elsewhere in Maricopa County or to cast a previously issued early ballot.

5 60. Upon information and belief, various poll workers across Maricopa County 6 refused or failed to "check out" some or all of these voters. As a result of that systematic 7 error, the e-pollbooks inaccurately designated these individuals has having previously voted 8 in this election.

9 61. When subsequently presenting at a different voting center, at least 146 of 10 these voters were incorrectly informed that they had already voted and were permitted to 11 complete and submit only a provisional ballot. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa 12 County Defendants failed to tabulate these valid provisional ballots for inclusion in the 13 canvass.

In addition, at least 273 voters whom poll workers failed to properly "check
out" instead chose to complete and submit a previously issued early ballot. Upon
information and belief, because these individuals are inaccurately recorded in the epollbook as having previously voted, however, the Maricopa County Defendants failed to
tabulate these valid early ballots for inclusion in the canvass.

19 63. These pervasive poll worker errors have denied numerous qualified electors
20 of Maricopa County, including supporters of the Contestant, their right to vote under
21 Arizona law.

64. By failing to properly "check out" these voters and restore their ability to vote
a regular ballot for tabulation, the Maricopa County Defendants (through their election
boards) engaged in "misconduct" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(1), acted in
excess of their legal authority, failed to discharge a non-discretionary duty prescribed by
law, and caused an erroneous count of votes in the election for Arizona Attorney General.

27 65. Upon information and belief, votes included on provisional and early ballots
28 that the Maricopa County Defendants improperly failed to tabulate are material to, and

potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney 2 General.

66. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to tabulate for inclusion in the canvass all provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by qualified electors who had "checked in" at a voting center but did not cast a regular ballot in the November 8, 2022 general election.

COUNT II

[Maricopa County Only] Erroneous Count of Votes and Election Board Misconduct: Wrongful Exclusion of **Provisional Voters** (A.R.S. §§ 16-584, 12-2021, 16-672(A)(1), (A)(5))

67. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

68. If poll workers are unable to verify a putative voter's identity or eligibility to 14 vote, but the individual affirms that he or she is eligible to vote, he or she is entitled to receive, complete and submit a provisional ballot. See A.R.S. § 16-584.

16 69. Upon information and belief, certain poll workers at various polling locations 17 across Maricopa County refused to furnish provisional ballots to certain voters, on the 18 grounds that they had previously cast a ballot at another polling location earlier in the day.

19 70. Upon information and belief, in many instances the affected voters had, in 20 fact, not cast a ballot at another polling location, but rather had voluntarily spoiled their 21 ballot and left the first polling location without obtaining or casting a replacement ballot.

22 71. In any event, any individual whom the e-pollbook has recorded as having 23 already voted is entitled to receive, complete and submit a provisional ballot upon affirming 24 his or her eligibility. See A.R.S. § 16-584.

25 72. By denying these individuals a provisional ballot and failing to tabulate any 26 such valid provisional ballots in the canvass, the Maricopa County Defendants (through 27 their election boards) engaged in "misconduct" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-

STATECRAF

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

672(A)(1), acted in excess of their legal authority, failed to discharge a non-discretionary duty prescribed by law, and caused an erroneous count of votes in the election for Arizona Attorney General.

73. Upon information and belief, the Maricopa County Defendants' unlawful denial of certain electors' right to cast a provisional ballot was material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.

7 74. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a
8 writ of mandamus) requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to afford to all individuals
9 who were refused a provisional ballot a reasonable opportunity to cast in the November 8,
10 2022 general election a provisional ballot, which must be duly processed and included in
11 the canvass in conformance with applicable law.

<u>COUNT III</u> Erroneous Count of Votes: Inaccurate Ballot Duplications (A.R.S. §§ 16-621, 16-672(A)(5))

75. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

76. When a submitted ballot is damaged or defective such that it cannot be read
by an electronic tabulator, the ballot is transmitted to a Ballot Duplication Board that
operates under the auspices of the County Recorder and that transposes the voter's indicated
selections to a duplicate ballot, which in turn is electronically tabulated. *See* A.R.S. § 16621(A); EPM at p. 201.

77. Upon information and belief, the counties' Ballot Duplication Boards have incorrectly transcribed a material number of voter selections in the race for Arizona Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election, thereby resulting in the unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.

78. Upon information and belief, by not correctly duplicating certain ballots, the
County Defendants (through their Ballot Duplication Boards) have caused an erroneous
count of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General. *See* A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(5).

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

21

22

23

24

79. Upon information and belief, votes included on improperly duplicated ballots are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.

80. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate duplication of ballots.

COUNT IV

Illegal Votes and Erroneous Count of Votes: Improper Ballot Adjudications (A.R.S. §§ 16-621, 16-672(A)(4), (A)(5))

81. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

82. When a submitted ballot is determined by a tabulator device to contain an apparent over-vote, to be blank, or to include ambiguous markings, the ballot is designated for review by an Electronic Adjudication Board that operates under the auspices of the County Recorder. If the voter's clear intent can be ascertained, the Electronic Adjudication Board must ensure that such intent is appropriately designated on the ballot for tabulation. If the voter's clear intent cannot be determined, no vote is tabulated in the affected race(s) on that ballot. See A.R.S. § 16-621(B); EPM Electronic Adjudication Addendum, supra.

19 83. Upon information and belief, the counties' Electronic Adjudication Boards
20 have incorrectly recorded a material number of voter selections in the race for Arizona
21 Attorney General in the November 8, 2022 general election, thereby resulting in the
22 unlawful mistabulation of a ballot lawfully cast by a qualified elector.

84. Upon information and belief, by erroneously tabulating votes that should be
disqualified as invalid over-votes, the County Defendants (through their agents) have
caused illegal votes to be included in the canvassed returns for the office of Arizona
Attorney General. See A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(4).

27 85. Upon information and belief, by erroneously designating or mischaracterizing
 28 voters' manifested intent on certain electronically adjudicated ballots, the County

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Defendants (through their agents) have caused an erroneous count of votes for the office of Arizona Attorney General. *See* A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(5).

86. Upon information and belief, votes included on improperly adjudicated ballots are material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.

87. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a writ of mandamus) requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate adjudication of ballots.

<u>COUNT V</u> Illegal Votes: Unverified Early Ballots (A.R.S. §§ 16-550(A), 16-672(A)(4))

12 88. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing allegations as if fully set
13 forth herein.

89. An early ballot is lawful and eligible for tabulation if—and only if—the
signature on the affidavit accompanying the ballot matches the signature featured on the
elector's "registration record." A.R.S. § 16-550(A).

90. Upon information and belief, a material number of early ballots cast in the 17 November 8, 2022 general election were transmitted in envelopes containing an affidavit 18 signature that the County Recorder or the Recorder's designee determined did not 19 correspond to the signature in the putative voter's "registration record." The County 20 Recorder, however, nevertheless accepted the early ballot for processing and tabulation 21 because the affidavit signature ostensibly matched a signature on an election-related 22 document that was **not** the voter's "registration record," such as a prior early ballot affidavit 23 or early ballot request form. 24

91. To the extent the Elections Procedures Manual purports to authorize the
validation of early ballot affidavit signatures by reference to a signature specimen that is
not found in the voter's "registration record," it is contrary to the plain language of A.R.S.
§ 16-550(A), and hence unenforceable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

92. An early ballot that is accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that does not match the signature contained in the putative voter's registration record is an "illegal vote" within the meaning of A.R.S. § 16-672(A)(4).

93. Upon information and belief, the number of tabulated early ballots associated with an uncured affidavit signature that does not match the signature in the corresponding registration record is material to, and potentially dispositive of, the outcome of the election for the office of Arizona Attorney General.

8 94. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs are entitled to an order (including, if necessary, a
9 writ of mandamus) proportionately reducing the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude
10 early ballots that were accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that is inconsistent
11 with the signature on file in the putative voter's registration record. See generally Grounds
12 v. Lawe, 67 Ariz. 176, 183–85 (1948).

DEMAND FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs demand relief in the following forms:

a. An order requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to process and tabulate all provisional ballots and early ballots submitted by qualified electors who had "checked in" at a voting center but did not cast a regular ballot in the November 8, 2022 general election, and to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General accordingly.

b. An order requiring the Maricopa County Defendants to afford to all individuals who were refused a provisional ballot a reasonable opportunity to cast in the November 8, 2022 general election a provisional ballot, which will be duly processed and tabulated in conformance with applicable law, and to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General accordingly.

c. An order requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate duplication of ballots.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

d. An order requiring the County Defendants to amend the canvass results for the office of Arizona Attorney General to correct erroneous tabulations associated with the inaccurate adjudication of ballots.

e. An order proportionately reducing the tabulated returns of early ballots to exclude early ballots that were accompanied by an uncured affidavit signature that is inconsistent with the signature on file in the putative voter's registration record.

f. An order requiring the Secretary of State to amend the canvass of statewide returns to reflect amendments to county-level canvass results made by one or more of the County Defendants.

g. An injunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-650 and 16-676(C) prohibiting the Secretary of State from declaring the Contestee elected to the office of Arizona Attorney General or from issuing to Contestee a certificate of election.

h. An injunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-676(C) nullifying and setting aside any certificate of election issued by the Secretary of State to the Contestee for the office of Arizona Attorney General.

 An injunction or other order pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 16-650 and 16-676(C) requiring the Secretary of State to declare Contestant Abraham Hamadeh elected to the office of Arizona Attorney General and to issue to Contestant a certificate of election.

j. Such other relief as the Court deems necessary, equitable, proper, and just.

STATECRAF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	DATED this 22nd day of November, 2022.
2	
3	STATECRAFT PLLC
4	By: The Bare
5	Kory Langhofer
6	Thomas Basile 649 North Fourth Avenue, First Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85003
7	
8	Timothy A La Sota, SBN # 020539 TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC
9	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	23

STATECRAFT

Exhibit A

Bill Gates Bill Gates Counted Bill Gates Bill Gates Counted at a posiditate Bill you 're at a posiditate Counted in eac Counted	es a esaz a polling place expe rou have three optic each. 1) stay where each. 1) stay where each. 1) stay where o come online 2) dro (door 3) on tabulat 2022 · Twitter for iPhone 193 Quote Tweets 867 Likes	Bill Gates Dollgatesaz Dollgatesaz Dollgatesaz If you're at a polling place experiencing an issue with a tabulator, you have three options & your vote will be tabulator, you have three options & your vote will be counted in each. 1) stay where you are and wait for tabulator to come online 2) drop your ballot in the secure slot (door 3) on tabulator 3) go to a nearby vote center 8:01 AM · Nov 8, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone B:01 AM · Nov 8, 2022 · T	issue with a bte will be wait for t in the nearby vote
0	t1	0	←]

Exhibit B



MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Hand Count / Audit Report



Election: GENERAL ELECTION - NOVEMBER 8, 2022

Synopsis:

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-602(B), Maricopa County conducted the hand count/early ballot audit for the <u>November</u> <u>8, 2022 General Election</u>. 2% of the vote centers (polling places) were counted as required by statute, which amounted to <u>5</u> vote centers (polling places) out of <u>223</u> total vote centers (polling places).

The hand count began on <u>Wednesday, November 9, 2022</u> at <u>6:08 p.m.</u> when the Maricopa County Chairs of the <u>Democratic</u>, <u>Libertarian</u>, and <u>Republican</u> Party met to select the vote centers (polling places), races, and early ballot batches to be audited. All ballots were accounted for in the central counting location before the selection process started. The selection order was chosen by lot, and the <u>Democratic</u> Party was selected to go first, followed by the <u>Libertarian</u> Party and then the <u>Republican</u> Party.

With the draw order established, the specific vote centers (polling places) to be audited were selected with the participating County Party Chairs alternating the various selections. Once the allotted vote centers (polling places) were chosen, the percentage of early ballot audit batches to be audited were drawn (1% of early ballots or 5,000, whichever is less). A total of <u>26 batches</u> were selected to be audited to reach the required audit total.

Four (4) contested races were chosen as required by law: 1 Federal race, 1 Statewide race, 1 Legislative race and 1 Statewide Ballot Measure were counted. Specifically, the following list display's the contested races that were audited:

- Federal Race U.S. Representative
- Statewide Race Governor
- □ Statewide Legislative Race State Representative
- Statewide Ballot Measure **Proposition 129**

The master precinct and race selection lists are attached for review. The physical hand count started at <u>9:15 a.m.</u> on <u>Saturday, November 12, 2022</u> and was concluded at <u>6:22 p.m.</u> on <u>Saturday, November 12, 2022</u>. The tabulation method used was the stacking method. The audit was conducted by <u>24</u> boards made up of 3 members, of which not more than 2 members were from the same political party. This hand count included votes cast on both the scan and accessible marking devices from the selected vote centers (polling places).

Early Ballot Audit:

The required number of early ballots were audited as per Arizona State Law (1% of early ballots or 5,000, whichever is less). The early ballot audit consisted of <u>26</u> batches with at least <u>1</u> batch from every machine used for tabulation. Each batch contained approximately <u>200</u> early ballots. There was a over <u>1.3 million</u> early ballots cast in Maricopa County for the <u>November 8, 2022 General Election</u>.

Comments:

Attached are the summary reports which depict the results of the hand count audit for each selected race/measure. The outcome confirmed the accuracy of the tabulation results and was within the variance and designated margin as defined by the Vote Count Verification Committee established by the Secretary of State.

Stephen Richer Maricopa County Recorder

Reynaldo Valenzuela Jr. Director of Mail-In Voting & Election Services Office of Maricopa County Recorder, Stephen Richer

Scott Jarrett Director of In-Person Voting & Tabulation Maricopa County Elections Department



MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA

Hand Count / Audit Report



Election: GENERAL ELECTION – NOVEMBER 8, 2022

Party Selected to: Draw 1ST <u>**DEM**</u> Draw 2ND <u>**LBT**</u> Draw 3RD <u>**REP**</u>

SECTION A SELECTED VOTE CENTERS - LISTED IN ORDER SELECTED - 5 TOTAL

0082	0116	007	5
------	------	-----	---


0134 0179

Facility Information:

0075 = Estrella Foothills High School #201 (Fac# 14506) 0082 = Flite Goodyear (Fac# 15705) 0116 = Journey Church (Fac# 15731) 0134 = Maryvale Bridge Methodist Church (Fac# 10074)

0179 = Sevilla Elementary School (Fac# 10432)

SECTION A (Continued) SELECTED EV BATCHES (LISTED IN ORDER SELECTED - 26 TOTAL



SECTION B. NUMBER OF RACES TO COUNT PER CATEGORY

RACE CATEGORY	TICK MARK TALLY	NUMBER OF RACES PER CATEGORY	RECEIVED PRECINCT HAND COUNT MARGIN WORKSHEET
1. President	0	0	
2. Statewide Candidate	I	I	
3. Statewide Ballot Measure	l.	l l	
4. Federal Candidate	I	I	
5. State Legislative			
Additional Races Needed	0	0	

SECTION C. RACES TO BE COUNTED

	Race To Be Counted	Category of Race
1.	N/A	1. President
2.	GOVERNOR	2. Statewide Candidate
3.	PROPOSITION 129	3. Statewide Ballot Measure
4.	US REPRESENTATIVE	4. Federal Candidate
5.	STATE REPRESENTATIVE	5. State Legislative

MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA



Hand Count / Audit Report



Election: GENERAL ELECTION – NOVEMBER 8, 2022

SELECTED RACES

OFFICE TYPE	OFFICE NAME	DRAWN
FEDERAL	US SENATOR	
FEDERAL	US REPRESENTATIVE	X
STATEWIDE	GOVERNOR	X
STATE LEGISLATIVE	STATE SENATOR	
STATE LEGISLATIVE	STATE REPRESENTATIVE	X
STATEWIDE	SECRETARY OF STATE	
STATEWIDE	ATTORNEY GENERAL	
STATEWIDE	STATE TREASURER	
STATEWIDE	SUP. OF PUBLIC INSTR.	
STATEWIDE	CORP COMMISSIONER	
PROPOSITION	PROPOSITION 129	X

MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AGGREGATE - VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL PRECINCTS

Total Vote Centers Counted (2%): 5 Total Ball	ots Cast: 3,269	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		Race:	US REPRESENTATIVE
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	10	10	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	125	125	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	13	13	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	309	309	0
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	352	352	0
TOTAL	809	809	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute D	ifference + Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
0	809	100	0.000%
*Designated Margin for F	RECINCT ballots, in acco	rdance with A.R.S. § 16-	602(K)(4): 1.000%

Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race:	GOVERNOR
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	1,081	1,081	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	246	246	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	1,139	1,139	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	366	365	1
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	423	423	0
TOTAL	3,255	3,254	1
Aggregated Margin = Absolute D	Vifference + Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
1	3,254	100	0.031%
*Designated Margin for F	RECINCT ballots, in acco	rdance with A.R.S. § 16-	602(K)(4): 1.000%

Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		Race:	STATE REPRESENTATIVE
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	1,168	1,168	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	111	111	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	1,867	1,867	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	28	28	0
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	38	38	0
TOTAL	3,212	3,212	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute D	Difference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
0	3,212	100	0.000%
*Designated Margin for F	PRECINCT ballots, in acco	rdance with A.R.S. § 16-	602(K)(4): 1.000%

Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEAS	URE	Race:	PROPOSITION 129
Precinct # - Precinct Name	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH	952	952	0
0082 FLITE GOODYEAR	210	210	0
0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	1,044	1,044	0
0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH.	319	319	0
0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH.	346	346	0
TOTAL	2,871	2,871	0
Aggregated Margin = Absolute D	ifference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin
0	2,871	100	0.000%
*Designated Margin for F	RECINCT ballots, in acco	rdance with A.R.S. § 16-	602(K)(4): 1.000%

MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

al # of Batches: 26 # of Ballots from AL	L Batches: 5,1/U		<u>November 8, 2022</u>	
e Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		Race: I	Race: US REPRESENTATIVE	
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference	
49	190	190	0	
51	158	158	0	
38	170	170	0	
20	145	145	0	
10	138	138	0	
27	26	26	0	
8	168	168	0	
34	88	88	0	
21	169	169	0	
25	78	78	0	
44	142	142	0	
35	80	80	0	
28	192	192	0	
2	116	116	0	
33	163	163	0	
12	157	157	0	
47	156	156	0	
5	137	137	0	
19	108	108	0	
11	171	171	0	
48	139	139	0	
29	121	121	0	
4	95	95	0	
14	132	132	0	
36	101	101	0	
13	172	172	0	
TOTAL	3,512	3,512	0	
Aggregated Margin = Absolute	e Difference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin	
0	3,512	100	0.000%	

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

Batches: 26 # of Ballots from AL	L Batches: 5,1/U		<u>November 8, 2022</u>	
tegory: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race:	OVERNOR	
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference	
49	193	193	0	
51	196	196	0	
38	197	197	0	
20	199	199	0	
10	198	198	0	
27	198	198	0	
8	198	198	0	
34	198	198	0	
21	195	195	0	
25	200	200	0	
44	195	195	0	
35	195	195	0	
28	197	197	0	
2	197	197	0	
33	200	200	0	
12	192	192	0	
47	193	193	0	
5	196	196	0	
19	197	197	0	
11	199	199	0	
48	194	194	0	
29	198	198	0	
4	197	198	1	
14	195	195	0	
36	191	191	0	
13	192	192	0	
TOTAL	5,100	5,101	1	
Aggregated Margin = Absolut	e Difference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin	
1	5.101	100	0.020%	

MARICOPA COUNTY ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

tegory: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIV			
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference
49	196	196	0
51	178	178	0
38	216	216	0
20	220	220	0
10	269	269	0
27	260	260	0
8	180	180	0
34	286	286	0
21	224	224	0
25	227	227	0
44	271	271	0
35	262	262	0
28	137	137	0
2	238	238	0
33	245	245	0
12	223	223	0
47	236	236	0
5	177	177	0
19	283	283	0
11	173	173	0
48	278	278	0
29	192	192	0
4	256	256	0
14	289	289	0
36	248	248	0
13	272	272	0
TOTAL	6,036	6,036	0
Aggregated Margin = Absol	ute Difference + Machine Count	100	Aggregated Margin 0.000%

AGGREGATE - EARLY BALLOT AUDIT HAND COUNT REPORT - TOTAL FROM ALL BATCHES

tal # of Batches: 26 # of Ballots from <i>i</i>	ALL Batches: 5,170	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022	
ce Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT M	EASURE	Race: PROPOSITION 129		
Early Voting Batch #	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference	
49	171	171	0	
51	169	169	0	
38	176	176	0	
20	176	176	0	
10	185	185	0	
27	181	181	0	
8	185	185	0	
34	187	187	0	
21	183	183	0	
25	181	181	0	
44	181	181	0	
35	195	195	0	
28	184	184	0	
2	180	180	0	
33	185	185	0	
12	176	176	0	
47	175	175	0	
5	175	175	0	
19	188	188	0	
11	176	176	0	
48	176	176	0	
29	185	185	0	
4	188	188	0	
14	183	183	0	
36	185	185	0	
13	185	185	0	
TOTAL	4,711	4,711	0	
	ute Difference ÷ Machine Count	X 100	Aggregated Margin	
0	4.711	100	0.000%	

Comments: If a discrepancy occurred, the reason will be notated and described in each of the detailed Precinct and/or EV Batch reports for the given race or measure.

	CUMULATIVE AGGREGATED MARGINS - BY TYPE					
	Hand Count Total	Machine Count	Absolute Difference	Aggregated Margin		
VOTE CENTERS	10,147	10,146	1	0.010%		
EV'S	19,359	19,360	1	0.005%		
Aggregated Margin	= Absolute Difference ÷ Machine Count X 100					

THE "VOTE CENTER SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS

AND

"EARLY BALLOT BATCH SPECIFIC" HAND COUNT REPORTS

FOLLOW THIS SUMMARY

THE FOLLOWING WORKSHEETS ARE THE SUPPORTING AND DETAILED COUNTS THAT WERE USED TO DERIVE THE ABOVE SUMMARIES AND DESIGNATED MARGIN PERCENTAGES.

If any variances occur, the specific Vote Center or Early Ballot Hand Count Reports that follow would indicate the reasons for these variances in the "Comments" section for the affected "Race Category". Those variances are noted as part of the designated margin calculation when "**Intent**" or "**Machine Error**" is deemed to have occurred based on the Board's audit.

For those variances noted as "Intent" errors, those reflect votes that were unreadable by the machine but were determined by the boards to be votes for a given candidate or issue based on the board determining the "intent" of the voter. As an example, ballots where the voter circled the candidate's name instead of filling in the oval for the given candidate (as instructed) OR where the voter marked the oval but did not fill in the oval sufficiently enough such as placing an "X" or using a checkmark instead of filling in the oval. The machine is faulted for this as an error despite it not actually being a machine read error. This "intent" occurrence is included as a machine error variance and is part of the designated margin calculation.

For any marks not read by the machine because they were too light or the board upon their review deemed the machine count to be in error, those would be listed as "machine errors" and those totals would be part of the designated margin calculations, if existing. All variances, if any, are noted in the final result reports that follow.

VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	SCAN BALLOTS		
Site: 0075 ESTRELLA FOOTHILLS HIGH SCH Ballots Cast: 1,086 Date of Election: November 8, 20				
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT		
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total		
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	2	2	0	
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	0	0	0	
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0	
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	0	0	0	
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	3	3	0	
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	0	0	0	
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	0	0	0	
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	0	0	0	
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	0	0	0	
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0	
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	3	3	0	
TOTAL	10	10	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR		
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference	
LAKE, KARI HOBBS, KATIE	<u>888</u> 193	<u>888</u> 193	0	
TOTAL	1,081	1,081	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN		
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0	
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	0	0	0	
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	0	0	0	
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	0	0	0	
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	0	0	0	
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	0	0	0	
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	0	0	0	
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0	
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0	
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	0	0 0	0	
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	0	0	0	
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	0	0	0	
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	0	0	0	
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	0	0	0	
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	0	0	0	
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	0	0	0	
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	0 0	0	0	
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	1	1	0	
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	1	1	0	
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0	
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0	
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	0	0	0	
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	0	0	0	
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0 0	0	0	
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0	
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 15		0	0	
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	0	0	0	
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	0	0	0	
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0	
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0 0	0 0	0	
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	855	0 855	0	
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	129	129	0	
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	171	171	0	
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	1	1	0	
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	1	1	0	
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0	
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	1	1	0	
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	0	0	0	
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	1	1	0	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	3	3	0	
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	2	2	0	
TOTAL	1,168	1,168	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			0	
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12		
PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total 669	Machine Total 669	Absolute Difference 0	
PROP 129 - 109		283	0	
TOTAL		952	Ŭ Û	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				

VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	L SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0082 FLITE GOODYEAR Ballots Cast:	249	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		2	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1 CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		<u>1</u> 0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		6	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		4	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		0	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		1	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		59 51	0
TOTAL		125	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total 159	Machine Total 159	Absolute Difference 0
HOBBS, KATIE		87	0
TOTAL		246	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	_		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	Hand Count Total	Machine Total 0	Absolute Difference 0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	0	0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		1	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		0 1	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		1	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		0	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		<u>1</u> 1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	1	0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14	1	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		48 21	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		19	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23		0	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		<u>1</u> 1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	6	6	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAL		1 111	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	1 111	111	
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		120 90	0
TOTAL		210	0

VOTE	CENTER HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0116 JOURNEY CHURCH	Ballots Cast:	1,142	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CAN			US REPRESENTAT	
		Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	CHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	4	4	0
	CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
	O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
	ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	1	1	0
	GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	1	1	0
	COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	2 0	2 0	0
	BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	0	0	0
	/IOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	2	2	0
SN	ITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	0 2	0	0
	POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	2	0
	TOTAL	13	13	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occ				
Race Category: STATEWIDE C			GOVERNOR	
	LAKE, KARI	Hand Count Total 994	<u>Machine Lotal</u> 994	Absolute Difference 0
	HOBBS, KATIE		145	0
	TOTAL	1,139	1,139	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occ				
Race Category: STATE LEGISL		Race: Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN Machine Total	TATIVE Absolute Difference
	LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	Hand Count Total		O O
	WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	1	1	0
	SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	1	1	0
	GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	2	2	0
	SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	2 0	2 0	0
TREADWE	LL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	0	0	0
	ONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	0	0	0
	SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	1	1	0
	DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	0	0	0
	LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	0	0	0
	RNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	0	0	0
ME	NDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	0	0	0
	PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	0	0	0
	AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	0	0	0
	BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	0 1	0 1	0
PARKER.	BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	0	0	0
,	HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	0	0	0
	PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	0	0	0
	OS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	1	1	0
	ONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 STON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
	ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
	PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
TRAVERS, AN	ASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	0	0	0
	HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	0	0	0
	VILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
G	RANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
	HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	0	0	0
	REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	2	2	0
	HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
	SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
	PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	0	0	0
	LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SAN	IDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
	PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	<u>38</u> 36	<u>38</u> 36	0
	KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	30	30	0
L	IVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	822	822	0
PI	NGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	809	809	0
	, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	128	128	0
MC	NTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	7 9	7 9	0
	PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	0	0	0
	TOTAL	1,867	1,867	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occ	urred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE B			PROPOSITION 12	
	Candidate's PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total 878	Machine Total 878	Absolute Difference 0
	PROP 129 - 1		166	0
	TOTAL	1,044	1,044	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occ				

VOTE CENTER HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	SCAN BALLOTS		
Site: 0134 MARYVALE BRIDGE METHODIST CH. Ballots Cast: 367 Date of Election: November 8, 2022				
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT		
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	1	1	0	
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		5	0	
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0	
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		128	0	
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		169	0	
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		1	0	
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		0	0	
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		0	0	
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0	
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		2	0	
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 TOTAL		3 309	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	509	505	U	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR		
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
LAKE, KARI		162	0	
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		203 365	1	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: One ballot more per har			_	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0	0	
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	1	0 1	0	
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		0	0	
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	0	0	0	
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		1	0	
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0	
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		5	0	
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0	
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	0	0	0	
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0	0	
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		1 0	0	
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0	
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0	
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0	
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		1	0	
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		0	0	
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 10	3	3	0	
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	2	2	0	
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	1	1	0	
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0	
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		0	0	
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		0	0	
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	0	0	0	
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0	
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0	
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0	
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0	
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0	
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0	
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0	
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0	
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0	
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		1	0	
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		1	0	
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		<u> </u>	0	
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		1	0	
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		0	0	
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		1	0	
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		2	0	
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAL		1 28	0	
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	20	20		
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12		
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference	
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		163 156	0	
TOTAL		319	0	
Commonts for why a discronancy occurred:	313	313	<u> </u>	

VOTE CENTER	HAND COUNT	REPORT - OPTICAI	SCAN BALLOTS	
Site: 0179 SEVILLA ELEMENTARY SCH	Ballots Cast:	425		November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Banots cast.		US REPRESENTAT	-
	Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	RT, DAVID - DIST 1	4	4	0
	E, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u> </u>	<u>3</u> 0	0
	CRANE, ELI - DIST 2 RAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
	F NELSON - DIST 3	161	161	0
	60, RUBEN - DIST 3	180	180	0
	PER, KELLY - DIST 4		0	0
	ON, GREG - DIST 4 GGS, ANDY - DIST 5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
	ER GARCIA - DIST 5	0	0	0
	WILLIAM - DIST 5	0	0	0
	ZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0
GRIJA	LVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL	352	352	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDA	TE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
		Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
	LAKE, KARI		217	0
	HOBBS, KATIE	206	206	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TOTAL	423	423	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		1	0
	FH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 ERT, JUDY - DIST 2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
	ESS, MATT - DIST 2	2	2	0
	IS, MARIA - DIST 4	2	2	0
	CH, LAURA - DIST 4	1	1	0
TREADWELL, JENNIF		0	0	0
	, JENNIFER - DIST 5 AH, AMISH - DIST 5	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
	W, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
	IRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDE	, MELODY - DIST 8	0	0	0
	N, ATHENA - DIST 8	0	0	0
	/IARY ANN - DIST 9 CE, KATHY - DIST 9	0	0 0	0
	N, LORENA - DIST 9	0	0	0
	/AN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
	P, JUSTIN - DIST 10	0	0	0
PARKER, BARBARA		0	0	0
	R, HELEN - DIST 10 TATIANA - DIST 11	0 0	0 0	0
	S, OSCAR - DIST 11	2	2	0
	RCELINO - DIST 11	2	2	0
	IES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
	E, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA TRAVERS, ANASTASIA		0 0	0	0
	ARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	1	1	0
WILLOUGH	BY, JULIE - DIST 13	1	1	0
	IENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
	1, TRAVIS - DIST 14 , LAURIN - DIST 14	2	2	0
	BRANDY - DIST 14	0	0	0
HUDEL	SON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
	, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
	N, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
	MICHELE - DIST 23 JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0 0	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
	IE, KEVIN - DIST 27	2	2	0
	MA, BEN - DIST 27	2	2	0
	GER, DON - DIST 27 N, DAVID - DIST 28	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
	N, DAVID - DIST 28 BEVERLY - DIST 28	5	5	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHA		1	1	0
MONTENEGE	O, STEVE - DIST 29	0	0	0
	I, AUSTIN - DIST 29		1	0
PODEY	N, SCOTT - DIST 29 TOTAL	0 38	0 38	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	IOTAL	30	30	U
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT M			PROPOSITION 12	
		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
	PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		185	0
	TOTAL		161 346	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				

Page 9 of 35

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 49 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	,
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST		30	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST CRANE, ELI - DIST		<u> </u>	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST	B 0	0	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		5	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		7	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		48	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST		53	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		14	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		0	0
TOTA		190	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	•		
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
LAKE, KAR	Hand Count Total	Machine Total 85	Absolute Difference 0
HOBBS, KATI		108	0
ΤΟΤΑΙ		193	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		CTATE DEDEST	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race: Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST		0	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	2 0	0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST		0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		5 5	0
STINS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		6	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST		0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST	5 2	2	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST :		2	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 3 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 3		1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST		0	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST	-	3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 1 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 1		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 1		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 1		0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 12		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 1: CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 1:		03	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		3	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	2 1	1	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		1	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		<u>31</u> 26	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		<u> </u>	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		24	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	18	18	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		25	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 10 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 10		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 10		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 2		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 2		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2 TOMA, BEN - DIST 2		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2		1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 24	3 0	0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 23		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 23		0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2		0	0
TOTAL		196	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's		PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YE		98	0
PROP 129 - NC	73	73	0
ΤΟΤΑΙ	. 171	171	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 51 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>41</u> 33	<u>41</u> 33	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	1	1	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	5 12	5 12	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	13	13	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	34	34	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	14	14	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	3 1	<u>3</u> 1	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	1	1	0
TOTAL	158	158	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Dese	001/501/00	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race: Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	105	105	
HOBBS, KATIE		91	0
TOTAL	196	196	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Passa	STATE REPRESEN	ΤΛΤΙ/Γ
Candidate's		Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	0	0	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	0	0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	0	0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	<u>16</u> 14	<u>16</u> 14	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	12	12	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	3	3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	9	9	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	7	7	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		3 5	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		4	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	2	2	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	0	0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	0	0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	0	0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	16	16	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	13	13	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	9	9	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	3	3	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	2	2	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	4	4	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	4 2	<u>4</u> 2	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	3	3	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	5	5	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	3	3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0 1	0 1	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23	2	2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	3	3	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	2	2	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	<u>8</u>	8	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	4	4	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	3	3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	3	3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		1	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	178	178	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		104	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL	65 169	65 169	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	105	103	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 38 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	,
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		32	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	52	52	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		5	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		15	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		24	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		17	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		18	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL		170	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		74	0
HOBBS, KATIE		123	0
	197	197	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		2	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		2	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		1	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		16 10	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		23	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		6	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		4	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		3	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 8		4	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	3	3	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		5	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		4	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	6 2	<u>6</u> 2	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		6	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	6	6	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		9	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		8	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		14	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		<u>12</u> 4	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		4	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		7	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		6	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	6	6	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		4	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 16		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		<u> </u>	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		<u> </u>	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 20		1	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		1	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	2	2	0
TOTAL	216	216	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		DRODOGITICAL	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's		PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		92	O
PROP 129 - NO		84	0
TOTAL		176	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 20 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>26</u> 31	26 31	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	5	5	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	26 11	26 11	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	16	16	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	17	17	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	12	12	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0 0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
TOTAL	145	145	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		001/501/00	
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race: Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		82	0
HOBBS, KATIE	117	117	0
TOTAL	199	199	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Pasa	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	3	3	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	3	3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	7	7	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	<u>9</u> 9	<u>9</u> 9	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	8	8	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	1	1	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	13	13	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	13	13	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	4 4	4 4	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	1	1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	1	1	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	2	2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	<u>2</u> 5	2 5	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	5	5	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	9	9	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	9	9	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	2	2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	2 8	2 8	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	8	8	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	1	1	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	1	1	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	9	9	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	<u>9</u> 7	<u>9</u> 7	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	7	7	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	8	8	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	3	3	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	<u> </u>	3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	<u>4</u> 2	<u>4</u> 2	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	2	2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	4	4	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	7	7	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	<u> </u>	<u>4</u> 5	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	5	5	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	3	3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	2	2	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	2	2	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	220	220	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		82	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		94 176	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	1/0	1/0	

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 10 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	46 57	<u>46</u> 57	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	4 14	<u>4</u> 14	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST S		14	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	13	13	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		2	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	138	138	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		66	0
HOBBS, KATIE		132	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	198	198	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		26 29	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		37	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	15	15	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	11	11	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		<u>20</u> 8	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		12	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0 12	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		12	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	1	1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		1 6	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		5	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		0 2	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		4	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	0	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0 1	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	0	0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		0 10	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		10	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	15	15	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		5	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		3	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28		<u> </u>	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		0	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	269	269	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		<u>88</u> 97	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		97 185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

LY Batch 27 Oper Batc A: 198 Date of Election: November 8, 2022 Race Category: FDERALCANDOTE Race (US EEPRESENTATUE Condidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference SCHWERER, DAVID. DBT 1 9 9 0 OCANAE, EL JO DT 2 0 0 0 OPALLEAN, TOM - DBT 2 2 0 0 GATAGO, BUREN, DATO, DBT 3 2 2 0 STATATON, SREE DBT 3 1 1 0 GOOPER, KLLY - DDT 3 1 1 0 GRAME, SLWER BARGA, DATO ST 3 1 1 0 GRAME, SLWER BARGA, DATO ST 3 1 1 0 GRAME, SLWER BARGA, DATO ST 3 1 1 0 GOTAL 28 26 0 Comments for why a discreasery counted Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference UAMA, CHRITAN, AND, DDT 1 5 5 Absolute Difference	EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference SCHWERT, DAVID. DIST 1 9 9 0 CAURE, EL, PORTO D. 0 0 0 CAURE, EL, PORTO D. 1 1 0 CAURE, EL, PORTO D. 1 1 0 GALLEGO, PURSIN. DIST 2 2 0 0 STATIONON, GRC. DIST 3 1 1 0 0 MARIDS, MURE ANGL. OLDS 1 1 1 0 0 GRUER, MURE, MACL. DIST 3 1 1 0 0 GOMENTAL, MARU, DIST 3 1 1 0 0 GOMENTAL, MARU, DIST 3 1 1 0 0 GOMENTAL, MARU, DIST 3 1 1 0 0 Comments for why a discregatory counder Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: ST	EV Batch# 27 #per Batch:	198	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
SCHWEIRER, DAVID DIST 1 8 8 0 CRANE, EU. J. DIST 2 0 0 0 O'CHALLEA, TOM DIST 3 0 0 0 D'CALLERA, TOM DIST 3 1 0 0 COOPER, ELLY, DOT 3 2 2 0 COOPER, ELLY, DOT 4 0 0 0 STANTON, GRES. DIST 5 0 0 0 REG.SG, ANOY. DIST 5 1 1 0 YOTAN, MAN, MAN, DIST 5 1 1 0 YOTAN, ANA, MAN, DIST 5 1 1 0 YOTAN, ANA, MAN, DIST 5 1 1 0 YOTAN, ANA, MAN, ANA, MAN, DIST 5 1 1 0 Commeth for why a discrepany occurred: Race: GOVERNON Race Cover 1 0 Commeth for why a discrepany occurred: Race: GOVERNON Race Cover 1 0 0 Commeth for why a discrepany occurred: Race: GOVERNON Race Cover 1 0 0 Commeth for why a discrepany occurred: Race: GOVERNON Race: GOVERNON				
HODGE, EVIN D DDT 1 9 0 0 O'CANAE, ELL-NOTZ 0 0 0 0 O'MALLERAN, TOM - DDT 2 0 0 0 CALLEGO, NUMA - DDT 3 1 1 0 CALLEGO, NUMA - DDT 3 2 2 0 STATUSO, GRE - DDT 4 2 2 0 NEMOS, ANDY - DDT 5 0 0 0 RAMOS, JAVER & ANDT 5 1 1 0 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DDT 7 1 1 0 GRILAUA, ANU - DDT 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a flooreparty structor TOTAL 198 0 Comments for why a flooreparty structor TOTAL 198 0 Comments for why a flooreparty structor TOTAL 198 0 Comments for why a flooreparty structor TOTAL 198 0 Comments for why a flooreparty structor S 5 0 0 Comments for why a flooreparty structor S 5 0 0 Race Cate				
CRAME, ELL-DIST 2 0 0 0 O'HALLEAN, TON - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 CAURK, LEF NESON - DIST 3 2 2 0 0 COOPER, ALLY - DIST 3 2 2 0 0 STATTON, CARCE - DIST 3 2 2 0 0 SAMTON, CARCE - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 SAMTON, CARCE - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 SAMTON, CARCE - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 SAMTON, CARCE - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 GRANDA, DALL - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 GRANDA, DALL - DIST 3 Race - GOVERNOR - 0 0 Comments for why a diacregancy accurred: Race: STATE EPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference LAMAR, CHUSTANE HSTATE EPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference Comments for why a diacregancy accurred: Race: STATE EPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>			-	-
OPNALERAN, TOM-DIST.2 0 0 0 2NIK, ILFF NESON DIST.3 1 1 0 GALLEGO, RUERN-DIST.3 2 2 0 GOOPER, ALLIN, VIST.4 0 0 0 STANTON, GARG-DIST.3 1 1 0 BANDS, MARES ARGAC, DIST.3 1 1 0 SMITA, CUMY WULAM-DIST.5 1 1 0 GRALEGO, NUMLAM-DIST.5 1 1 0 GRALEGO, NUMLAM-DIST.5 1 1 0 GRALEGO, NUMLAM-DIST.5 1 1 0 GRALEGON, STATTENDER CANDIDATE REC: IGOVERNOR REC: IGOVERNOR Rec Category: STATE LIGISLATIVE REC: IGOVERNOR				
CALLEG, RUBEN-DIST 3 2 2 0 COOPER, KELV. DIST 4 0 0 0 STANTON, GRE 6-DIST 4 2 0 0 MAMOS, JAVIER GARKIA-DIST 5 1 1 0 MAMOS, JAVIER GARKIA-DIST 5 1 1 0 MOTIOLO, LUIL -DIST 7 1 1 0 MORTAN, AULT ONLING DIST 1 1 0 Comments for why a discrepancy accurred: Race Category: STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Race Category: STATE LIGISLATIVE Race: STATE KEPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE KEPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LIGISLATIVE Race: STATE KEPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE KEPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LIGISLATIVE Race: STATE KEPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE KEPRESENTATIVE Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TITAL 1 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TITAL 1 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TITAL 1 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TITAL 1 0 Conthoooccurred: <td>O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
COOPER, KLUY- DIST 4 0 0 0 STATTON, GRES - DIST 4 2 2 0 BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 0 0 0 SMITH, CLINY VULIAM - DIST 5 1 1 0 SMITH, CLINY VULIAM - DIST 5 1 1 0 GRUAKUN, AUIT - OIST 7 1 1 0 GRUAKUN, AUIT - OIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy coursed: Race: GOVERNOR - Candidate's Hand Count Total Mahone Total - Absolute Difference LAKE, KARI 905 0 0 Comments for why a discregancy coursed: Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE - Race Category: STATE LIGISLATIVE S 5 0 WIMMET, ADIST A 0 0 - SCINW PRESS, MATT - DIST 4 1 0 - TREADREL, LEWRER "SINN - DIST 5 1 1 0 SCINW PRESS, MATT - DIST 4 1 0 - TREADREL, LEWRER SINN - DIST 5 1 1 0				
STANTON, GREGDIST 6 Q Q Q BIGGS, AVVER GARCIA - DIST 5 1 1 Q SMITL, CINT WILLAM, DIST 5 1 1 Q REGE Category. STATE LOSS DIST 7 1 1 Q Comments for why a discorption y octured. Race: Content of the maximum of the maximum octured. Absolute Difference Race Category. STATE LOSS DIATE Race: Content octured. Absolute Difference Comments for why a discorption y octured. Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Absolute Difference Category. STATE LOSS DIATE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Comments for why a discreption y octured. Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Comments for why a discreption y octured. Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Comments for why a discreption y octured. Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Comments for why a discreption y octured. Race: State				-
BIGGS, AUXP. DIST.5 0 0 0 BANDS, DUVER, GACK. DIST.5 1 1 0 SNUTR, CLIMT VULLIAM - DIST.5 1 1 0 GRIJAVIA, RAUL - DIST.7 1 1 0 GRIJAVIA, RAUL - DIST.7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy secured: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: CovERNOR Absolute Difference LAKE, KABI 138 0.0 0 Comments for why a discregancy occured: Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 6 6 0 0 Schwitzer, NDP - DIST 2 8 8 0 0 Schwitzer, NDP - DIST 2 1 1 0 0 TREADWELL, HWIER * JAWN - DIST 2 2 0 0 0 Schwitzer, NDP - DIST 2 2 0 0 0 0 TRADEWELL, HWIER * JAWN - DIST 3 1				-
SMITH, CURT WILLIAM-DISTS 1 1 0 OPOZZOL, ULUS - DIST7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE I WILMETH, UST: D 5 6 0 WILMETH, UST: D 5 6 0 SYNMS, MARIA - DIST 4 1 1 0 SYNMS, MARIA - DIST 5 1 1 0 MEROWER, SINL - DIST 5 1 1 0 MEROWER, SINL - DIST 5 1 1 0 MEROWER, SINL - DIST 5 2 0 0 <td>BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	0	0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 1 1 0 GRIAULA, RAUL, OIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy coursel: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Absolute Difference LAKE, KARI 95 95 Solute Omments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference MUMETH, JUST 6 6 0 0 WIMMETH, MUNT BY 2 5 Solute Difference WIMMETH, JUST 6 1 1 0 SCHWIMER, JUST 5 1 1 0 TREEORY, LAURA: DIST 4 1 1 0 TREADEL, JURNER: PIST 5 0 0 0 NONDORDON, JENNIER: DIST 5 1 1 0 UNORDON, JANY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 MERADZA, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 DARMAN, ATHEN DIST 9 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
GRUAUX, RAUL-DIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAKE, KARI 95 95 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total Assolute Difference 0 Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total Assolute Difference 0 Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total 1 0 Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total 1 0 Contenents for why a discrepancy occurred: Condidate's Hand Count Total 1				
TOTAL 26 26 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Additate's Hand Count Total Adshine Total Absolute Difference LARE, KARI 95 95 95 0 HOBES, KATIE 103 103 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: [STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: [STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: [STATE REPRESENTATIVE Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: [STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: [STATE REPRESENTATIVE Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: [STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE State Sta				-
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR LARE, KARI 95 Machine Total Absolute Difference LARE, KARI 95 0 0 TOTAL 193 103 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race:: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAMAR, CRISTIAN - DIST2 6 6 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST2 8 8 0 GEESS, MARIA - DIST4 1 1 0 TRECOLARA- DIST4 1 1 0 MINORODAY, LEWINER* TENT5 1 1 0 DARROW, CADOY - DIST8 2 2 0 HERADURIL, JENNIFER* TENN* - DIST5 1 1 0 DARROW, CADOY - DIST8 2 2 0 HARADAZ - DIST9 0 0 0 0 HARADAZ - DIST9 0	TOTAL		26	0
Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LARE, KARI 103 103 0 Comments for why a disregancy occurred: TOTAL 198 198 0 Carnents for why a disregancy occurred: Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 6 6 0 0 State LEGISLATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference AMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 6 6 0 0 0 State, MAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 0 TREADWILL, LENNERF: FILMER, LIARA - DIST 4 1 1 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARN, MITCHIN - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARN, MITCHIN - DIST 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARN NN - DIST 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 ME		_		
LARE, KARI 95 95 0 HOBSE, KATIE 103 103 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - 0517 5 5 0 WILMETH, JUDY - 0517 8 8 0 GENES, MARIA - 0517 1 1 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - 0517 8 8 0 GENES, MARIA - 0517 1 1 0 TRECH, MARIA - 0517 1 1 0 MORDON, JENNIER" 'IENN' - 0515 1 1 0 JOARROW, CADN' - 0515 1 1 0 JOARROW, CADN' - 0516 2 2 0 MANDAZ, MICAN AND - 0517 0 0 0 JOARROW, CADN' - 0517 1 1 0 MONDOZA, MART AND - 0517 0 0 0 ALSTINL, DERTI D 1 1 0 MEDA JU				Abcoluto Difforence
HOBES, KATIE 103 103 0 Comments for why a discregatory accurred: TOTAL 198 98 0 Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference MAMAR, CHRSTANA - DIST 2 6 6 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 6 6 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 8 8 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUNR - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 TREADVELL, JENNIFER - INST 5 0 0 0 0 SHAH, AMRIN - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 IOUGHORD, JENNIFER - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 MERNADAZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 1 0 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 1 0 0 0 MEANTANA, STAT DIST 10				
TOTAL 198 198 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: STATE HERRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Multimeth, USIN DIST2 5 String HERREST, JUDY - DIST2 8 Genes, Markan - DIST4 1 Schwitzer, JUDY - DIST2 8 Genes, Markan - DIST4 1 TERECH, LAURA - DIST4 1 TERECH, LAURA - DIST5 1 I 0 ONBROW, CANNER - DIST5 1 LOUGHNIER, DIST5 1 DARROW, CADRO - DIST8 2 DARROW, CADRO - DIST8 2 MENDOZA, MARY NAN - DIST9 0 DELES, ANTHAN, SET10 1 HARY JUSTN. DIST10 1 RAMINA, THENA - DIST10 1 MENDOZA, MARY NAN - DIST9 0 DELOS, ANTHAN, SET10 1 MEND	HOBBS, KATIE	103		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE LAMAR, CHRISTIAN: DIST 2 5 5 0 WILMERT, JUDY: DIST 2 6 6 0 SCHWIRERT, JUDY: DIST 2 8 8 0 SCHWIRERT, JUDY: DIST 2 8 8 0 SCHWIRERT, JUDY: DIST 2 1 1 0 TERECH, LURAR: DIST 4 1 1 0 TERECH, LURAR: DIST 5 1 1 0 ITERECH, LURAR: DIST 5 1 1 0 DINGDON, INNIFER > DIST 5 1 1 0 HERNANDEZ, MERNER > DIST 5 2 2 0 MUNRO, HURER > DIST 5 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN > DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN > DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN > DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDAZ, MARY ANN > DIST 10 1 1 0 MENDAZ, MARY ANN > DIST 10 1 1 0 MERDAZ, MARY ANN > DIST 10	TOTAL		198	0
Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference IAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 5 6 0 O SCHWIESER, JUDY - DIST 2 8 8 0 O GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 1 1 0 TEREDCH, LAURA - DIST 4 1 1 0 TREADWELL, FENNEE 7: ST 5 1 1 0 IONGON, IENNEER - DIST 5 0 0 0 MARAM, AMISH - DIST 5 1 1 0 IONGON, IENNEER - DIST 5 2 2 0 MERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 1		D		
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 5 5 0 WILMERT, JUDY. DIST 2 8 8 0 GEBSS, MAT - DIST 4 1 1 0 TRECH, LURA - DIST 4 2 2 0 TRECH, LURA - DIST 4 1 1 0 TRECH, LURA - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 MEADAWELL, FENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 2 2 0 MEADAWEL, SCHARD, JENS 7 2 2 0 MENDOZA, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 0 0				
WILMETH, JUSTIN: DIST 2 6 6 0 SCHWIESER, JUOP: OIST 4 1 1 1 0 SYMS, MARI: DIST 4 1 1 0 0 TREADWELL, ENNIEER: DIST 5 1 1 0 0 ITREADWELL, ENNIEER: DIST 5 0 0 0 0 SHAH, ANDERS 0 0 0 0 MERNAMISH: DIST 5 1 1 0 0 MERNANDEZ, MELODY: DIST 8 2 2 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDAZ, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDAZ, MARY ANN: DIST 9 0 0 0 0 MENDAZ, MARY ANN: DIST 10 1 1 0 0 0 <				
GRESS, MAT. DIST 4 1 1 0 SYMS, MARA. DIST 4 2 2 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER 7: DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER 7: DIST 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMIS 1: DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER 7: DIST 5 1 1 0 MEADWIGER, BILL DIST 8 2 2 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN. DIST 10 1 1 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN. DIST 10 1 1 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN. DIST 10 1 1 0 MEADWIGH, ANGENA - DIST 11 0 0 0 MEADWIGH, ANGENA - DIST 12 0 0 0 QUINOKE, MARCELNO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTRERES, BA	WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	6		0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 2 2 0 TERECH, LURA - DIST 5 1 1 0 TREADWELL, FUNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 SHAHA, AMISH - DIST 5 2 2 0 LOUGHRIEG, BILL - DIST 8 2 2 0 HERNANDEZ, MALON - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 HATTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 1 1 0 MEAP_JUSTIN - DIST 10 2 2 0 MUNTER, HERA - DIST 11 0 0 0 OL ELOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINOMEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 COTRERAS, PATRICA ^T PATY *' DIST 12 0 0 0				_
TRECH, LAURA. DIST 4 1 1 0 TREADVELL, JENNERE, DIST 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 1 1 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 2 2 0 LONGDON, JENNIER - DIST 8 2 2 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 2 2 0 HERNANDEZ, MARY ANN. DIST 8 2 2 0 MINDOZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 0 0 0 PERACE, KATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH DIST 10 1 1 0 PERACE, KATHY - DIST 10 1 1 0 HARP, JUSTN - DIST 10 2 2 0 PENA MA, TATINAN- DIST 10 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERS, SARTIGA, "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 <				-
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JEINN": DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGOON, JENNIFER. DIST 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 1 1 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 2 2 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BALTTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLY - DIST 10 1 1 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 COTTRAKES, PATICICH - PATTY - DIST 12 0 0 0 GUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 13 0 0 0 COTTRAKES, ANSTATS - STAT 22 0 0 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
SHAH, AMISH - DIST S 1 1 0 DARROW, CADEN. DIST S 2 2 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST S 2 2 0 MERNANDEZ, MELODY. JIST S 2 2 0 SALMAM, ATHENA DIST S 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST S 0 0 0 PEARCE, KATHY DIST S 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA DIST S 0 0 0 BALTTMAN, SETH DIST 10 1 1 0 PARCE, RABBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 MUNTER, MELEN. DIST 10 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, DSCAR. DIST 11 0 0 0 OLOS SANTOS, DSCAR. DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PARCELINO. DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PARCELINO. DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PARCELINO. DIST 13 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PARCELINO. DIST 14 0 0 0	TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	1	1	0
DARROW, CADEN. DIST 8 2 2 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL. DIST 8 2 2 0 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 SALMAM, ATHEMA - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY NAN - DIST 9 0 0 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 MUNDZA, MARY NAN - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTIMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATIMAN, SETH - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBAR ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 11 0 0 0 PENA M, TATIANA - DIST 12 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELNO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES 'JIM'' - DIST 12 0 0 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MUNDEZ, MARTIANA - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0			-	-
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 2 2 0 HERNANDEZ, MELOD' - DIST 8 2 2 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 CHASTON, JARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULLOUGHRY, JULE OIST 13 0 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, ENEST 33 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
HERNANDEZ, MELOPY - DIST 8 2 2 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 MUNDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, JOERNA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 11 0 0 0 OUNONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MARIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MUNDEZ, MARCEL "POIST 13 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, PATRICIA "PATY"- DIST 12				-
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 HEAP, JUSTIN. DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MARINS, LIZ DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MARTINES, LIZ DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MARTINES, LIZ DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULIE - D			2	
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 1 1 0 PAREER, BARBARA ROWLEY DIST 10 1 1 0 PAREER, BARBARA ROWLEY DIST 10 2 2 0 PENA.M., TATIANA DIST 11 0 0 0 OLOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES ''JIM'' - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA ''PATIT'' - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA ''PATIT'' - DIST 12 0 0 0 MARINS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHEY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MARINS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHEY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 M				-
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 1 1 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 1 1 0 PAREER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 2 2 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, AMASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MULDUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MULDUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 0 0 0 RESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 MULDUGHBY, JULE - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 <			-	
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 0 0 0 MEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 1 1 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 1 1 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 2 2 0 PENA MA, TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATY". DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATY". DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATY". DIST 12 0 0 0 MULOUGHEY, JULE TOST 13 0 0 0 MURICUGHEY, JULE DIST 13 0 0 0 MULOUGHEY, JULE DIST 13 0 0 0 MULOUGHEY, JULE DIST 14 0 0 0 MURICUGHEY, JULE DIST 14 0 0 0 MURICUGHEY, JULE DIST 13 0 0 0 MURICUGHEY, JULE DIST 14 0 0 0			-	
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY. DIST 10 1 1 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 2 2 0 PENA M, TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PARTRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTREAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHPY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MURIDUGHPY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MURIDUGHPY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MURIDUGHPY, JULE - DIST 14 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0				
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 2 2 0 PENA M., ATTIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR. DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 MILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINAK, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINOREZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HADDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MACELIEL - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0<				_
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWILK, JENNIFRE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 0 SANDAVAL, MARINAN - DIST 27				-
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATRI" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 MELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINES, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINES - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINES - DIST 16 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 27 31 31 0 MOMTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28 26 26 0 MOLGO, JESUS JR DIST 27 38 38 0				
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, NOB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MUDELSON, NOB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>	QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MATINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESU JR DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 27 31 31 0 0 LUGO, JESU JR DIST 27 38 38 0 0 LUGO, JESU JR DIST 27 34 34 0			-	-
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 MESES, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SAMDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 MULSON, DAVID - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 28 28 0 <				-
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MUNESSINGER, DON - DIST 27 31 31 0 0 MUNISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28 26 26 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28 21 21 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0				
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 UGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 ANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 1 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0				
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 UGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0				
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 UGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 0 HOLBROK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 26 26 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 0 DODEVN, SCOTT - DIST 29 16 16 0				-
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 ILIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 TOTAL 260 260 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's				
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEVN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: 0 0 0 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total <td< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		0		
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 21 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 0 0 0 0 PROP 129 - VE			-	_
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27 38 38 0 BINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Mach				
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27 38 38 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 26 26 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEPH - DIST 28 21 21 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 0 TOTAL 260 260 0 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: I 11 0 0 0 0 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP 129 - YES 91<				
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 31 31 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27 38 38 0 MONTENEGRE, DON - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 21 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 TOTAL 260 260 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 260 260 0 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - VES 91 91 0 0				-
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 34 34 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 21 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 260 260 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 PROP 12	SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0		_
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 38 38 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 21 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 16 16 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Example Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0				-
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 26 26 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 21 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 260 260 0 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 10 PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 0 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 0 0	,	-		
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 28 28 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 21 21 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 260 260 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 0 0 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 0 0 0 0				-
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 18 18 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 TOTAL 260 260 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: E Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 TOTAL 181 181 0	PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	28	28	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 16 16 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 11 11 0 TOTAL 260 260 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 260 260 0 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 91 0 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 TOTAL 181 181 0				
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2911110TOTAL2602600Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES91910PROP 129 - NO90900TOTAL1811810				
TOTAL2602600Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES91910PROP 129 - NO90900TOTAL1811810				
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 TOTAL 181 181 0				
Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES91910PROP 129 - NO90900TOTAL1811810				
PROP 129 - YES 91 91 0 PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 TOTAL 181 181 0				
PROP 129 - NO 90 90 0 TOTAL 181 181 0				
	PROP 129 - NO	90	90	0
	TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	181	181	0

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 8 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	-
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		73 67	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		0	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		1	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		1	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		10	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		<u>15</u> 0	0 0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
TOTAL	168	168	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Paco	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's			Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR		97	0
HOBBS, KATIE		101	0
TOTAL	198	198	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	11	11	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		14	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		7 20	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		15	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		22	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		3	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		2	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		3	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		3	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST S		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, AMASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		0	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		<u> </u>	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		7	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	10	10	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		5	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		4 5	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		<u> </u>	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	1	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		1	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	3	3	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		7	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		5	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		<u>7</u> 4	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 22		3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	2	2	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		2	0
Commonts for why a discropancy occurred:	180	180	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's		Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	91	91	0
PROP 129 - NC		94	0
TOTAL	. 185	185	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPOR	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 34 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	s Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST HODGE, JEVIN D DIST		<u>11</u> 5	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST	2 0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		<u>2</u> 8	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST		10	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST	4 45	45	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		3	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		2 1	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		1	0
TOTA	L 88	88	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	s Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAI		77	0
HOBBS, KATI TOTA		121	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	L] 139	198	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	s Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST		<u>1</u> 1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST		3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST	4 1	1	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		1	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST		<u>1</u> 0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST		0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST	5 0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST		2 24	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST		26	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 1		3	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 1		2	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 1		2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 1 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1		6	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 1		6	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 1		6	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 1 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 1		6 15	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 1		13	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 1	3 0	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 1		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 1 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 1		<u> </u>	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 1		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 1	4 1	1	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 1		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 1 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 1		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 2		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 2	3 0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 2		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2 TOMA, BEN - DIST 2		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2	7 2	2	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 2	8 48	48	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 2		46	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 2 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 2		47 6	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 2		6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2	9 0	0	0
TOTA	L 286	286	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
	s Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YE	S 97	97	0
PROP 129 - N TOTA		90 187	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	L 10/	10/	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 21 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>18</u> 44	<u>18</u> 44	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	2	2	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	9 11	<u>9</u> 11	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		21	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	32	32	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		27	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2 0	<u>2</u> 0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		3	0
TOTAL	169	169	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race: Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI			Absolute Difference 0
HOBBS, KATIE	123	123	0
TOTAL	195	195	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's	Race: Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		1	
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	1	1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		6	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	<u>11</u> 16	<u>11</u> 16	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		28	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	7	7	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		8	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		3	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		3	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	1	1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	<u>1</u> 1	1 1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		1	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		3	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	1	1	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	4 4	4 4	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	8	8	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	8	8	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	17	17	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	17 7	<u>17</u> 7	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		8	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		15	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	8	8	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		9	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	<u>4</u> 0	<u> </u>	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	3	3	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	1	1	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		0 4	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	6	6	0
TOTAL	224	224	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	D	DDODOGITION 42	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		82	
PROP 129 - NO	101	101	0
TOTAL	183	183	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 25 #per Batch:	200	Date of Election:	November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>28</u> 19	<u>28</u> 19	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	1	1	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	<u>9</u> 4	<u> </u>	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		16	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	1	1	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0 0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
TOTAL	78	78	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Dagas		
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Hand Count Total	GOVERNOR Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		87	0
HOBBS, KATIE	113	113	0
TOTAL	200	200	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	1	1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	2 3	2 3	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		3	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	4	4	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		1	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	7	7	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8	0	0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	0	0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	0	0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	2	2	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		2	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	0	0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	0	0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	03	0 3	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	4	4	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	9	9	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	9	9	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	2	2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	4	4	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23	15	15	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	13	13	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	29	29	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	25 27	25 27	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	14	14	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	12	12	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	11	11	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		15	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	227	227	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO		103	0 0
TOTAL		78 181	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	_ * =		

Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDI	T HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
	Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
		Hand Count Total		
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - HODGE, JEVIN D		28 50	<u>28</u> 50	0
CRANE, ELI -		0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM -		0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - GALLEGO, RUBEN -		2 6	<u>2</u> 6	0
COOPER, KELLY -		15	15	0
STANTON, GREG -		34	34	0
BIGGS, ANDY -		5	5	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM -		2 0	<u>2</u> 0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS -		0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL -	DIST 7	0	0	0
	OTAL	142	142	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Bace:	GOVERNOR	
	date's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAK	E, KARI	68	68	0
HOBBS		127	127	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	OTAL	195	195	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE			STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candie		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - WILMETH, JUSTIN -		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY -		2	2	0
GRESS, MATT -		11	11	0
SYMS, MARIA -	DIST 4	12	12	0
TERECH, LAURA - TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" -		21	21	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER -		0 1	0 1	0
SHAH, AMISH -		0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN -		16	16	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL -		13	13	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - SALMAN, ATHENA -		20 23	<u>20</u> 23	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN -		8	8	0
PEARCE, KATHY -		8	8	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - BLATTMAN, SETH -		14 14	<u>14</u> 14	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - I		0	0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - I		0	0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - I		0	0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - I DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - I		<u>1</u> 2	1 2	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - I		2	2	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - I		7	7	0
ROE, TERRY - I		7	7	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - I TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - I		<u>14</u> 14	<u>14</u> 14	0
HARRIS, LIZ - I		3	3	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - [DIST 13	3	3	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - I		1	1	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - I HENDRIX, LAURIN - I		0 2	0 2	0
REESE, BRANDY - I		1	1	0
HUDELSON, ROB - I	DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - I		0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - I PENA, MICHELE - I		0 1	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR I		0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - I	DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - I		7	7	0
TOMA, BEN - I KISSINGER, DON - I		<u>8</u> 9	<u>8</u> 9	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - I		2	2	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - I	DIST 28	1	1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - I		5	5	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - I SMITH, AUSTIN - I		5	5 6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - I		6	6	0
Т	OTAL	271	271	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		D	DRODOGITION 42	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	date's	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 12		92	92	0
PROP 12	29 - NO	89	89	0
Т	OTAL	181	181	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAT	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 35 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		10 23	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		11	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		30	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4 STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		<u> </u>	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		0	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		0	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7 GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		<u> </u>	0
TOTAL		80	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			•
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		<u>84</u> 111	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		111 195	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	100		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		8	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		<u>11</u> 19	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4		3	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	5	5	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		11	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		5 4	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		3	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		3	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		4	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		0	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11		<u>1</u> 12	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		18	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	22	22	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		1	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		1	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		2	0
HAVERS, ANASTASIA STACLT - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 23		44	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	42	42	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		19	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		5 7	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		6	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 20		0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		0	0
TOTAL	262	262	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	95	95	0
PROP 129 - NO		100	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	195	195	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 28 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total 55	Machine Total 55	Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1			0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	3 4	3 4	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		4	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	7	7	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		20 21	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		4	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		0	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	192	192	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI HOBBS, KATIE		<u>84</u> 113	0
TOTAL		113	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	•		
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	Hand Count Total 0	Machine Total 0	Absolute Difference 0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	3	3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	<u>12</u> 11	<u>12</u> 11	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	11 21	<u>11</u> 21	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	6	6	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		7 1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	-	5	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9		1	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	1	1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		7	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		10	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	3	3	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		2	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		4	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		4	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	1	1	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		<u> </u>	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	0	0	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		4	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		<u>4</u> 7	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		2 0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		0 0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	0	0	0
TOTAL	137	137	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	93	93	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		91 184	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	104	104	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	I BALLOTS
EV Batch# 2 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total 23	Machine Total 23	Absolute Difference 0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	36	36	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	0	0	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	<u> </u>	<u>4</u> 6	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	11	11	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	17	17	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5	2 9	<u>2</u> 9	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	0	0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2	2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 TOTAL	6	6	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	116	116	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's			Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI HOBBS, KATIE	77 120	<u>77</u> 120	0 0
TOTAL	120	120	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	Hand Count Total 3	Machine Total 3	Absolute Difference 0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	3	3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	4	4	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	15	15	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	<u>14</u> 19	<u>14</u> 19	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	4	4	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	5	5	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8	<u>4</u> 5	<u>4</u> 5	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		7	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		5	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	5	5	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	<u>3</u> 4	3 4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	12	12	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	11	11	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	2	2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	2	2	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11	1	1	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	1	1	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0 0	<u> </u>	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	3	3	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	3	3	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	2	2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	1	1	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14	0 2	0 2	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	<u> </u>	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16	0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	2 1	<u>2</u> 1	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	2	2	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	1	1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	1	1	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	2 22	2 22	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	24	24	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	29	29	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29	3	3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	<u> </u>	3 4	0
TOTAL	238	238	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's		PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
Candidate s			
PROP 129 - YES	82	82	0
	82 98 180	98 180	0 0 0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 33 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	17 18	<u>17</u> 18	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	<u>1</u> 8	<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4	28	28	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	45	45	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	23	23	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	<u>17</u> 4	<u>17</u> 4	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2	2	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	163	163	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	83	83	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		117 200	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	200	200	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	3 4	3 4	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	3	3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	2	2	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	1	1	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5	4 0	<u> </u>	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	0	0	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	0	0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		2 7	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8	7	7	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	2	2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9	2	2	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9	2	2	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	15	15	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	16	16	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		<u>21</u> 1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	5	5	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	5	5	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	15	15	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12	17 23	17 23	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12	23	23	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	5	5	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		4	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		5 3	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		4	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	5	5	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16	0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	1 3	<u> </u>	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1	1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	9	9	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	8	<u> </u>	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28		3	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	0	0	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		2	0
TOTAL	245	245	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		79	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		106 185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	100	100	

Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different SCHWERT, DAV.D. DISTI 20 20 0 CRAM, ELI-DISTI 0 0 0 O'HALLENA, TOM. DISTI 0 0 0 DIM, HIP NELSON. DISTI 0 0 0 COOPER, KELY. DISTI 1 16 0 COOPER, KELY. DISTI 16 16 0 STATION, REG. DISTI 25 26 26 RAMOS, JANEE GARCI. DISTI 1 1 0 Comments for why a diversame occured 707 AL 1 1 0 Comments for why a diversame occured Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Race Category, STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race Category, STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race Category, STATE KEPRESTUTTE 0 0 Comments for why a diversame occured Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Cate Category, STATE WIDE CALATY S 5 0 0 Contenestor Solute	EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ID COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
Base Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Race DS REPRESENTATIVE SCMVENEER, DAVID0ST1 16 16 0 0 SCMVENEER, DAVID0ST1 20 20 0 0 CRAME, EU0ST2 0 0 0 0 0 OCHALLBAN, TOM0ST3 0 0 0 0 0 COMPER, RELV0ST3 4 34 0 0 0 COMPER, RELV0ST3 25 25 0				
SCHWEIKER, DAVID.: DIST 16 16 6 HODGE, JEVIN. D.: DIST 0 0 0 CRAME, FLU.: DIST 0 0 0 CALLERAN, TOM.: DIST 0 0 0 CALLERAN, TOM.: DIST 4 4 0 GALLEGO, NOREN:: DIST 16 15 0 CALLERAN, TOM.: DIST 6 6 0 MAMOS, JAVIER, GARCA:: DIST 1 1 0 SMITH, CULT WILLIAM:: DIST 6 6 0 OCCOMBINENT 157 157 0 Comments for why a disregarcy accurate TOTAL 157 0 Comments for why a disregarcy accurate TOTAL 192 132 0 Comments for why a disregarcy accurate TOTAL 192 132 0 Comments for why a disregarcy accurate TOTAL 192 132 0 Comments for why a disregarcy accurate TOTAL 192 132 0 Comments for why a disregarcy accurate TOTAL 193	Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE			IVE
HODGE, EVIN D DST 1 20 20 0 OPALLERAY, TOM - DST 2 0 0 0 OPALLERAY, TOM - DST 3 4 4 0 CALEGO, RUEN - DST 3 8 8 0 CONTON, NELL TONT 4 15 15 0 RAMOS, JANY - DST 4 15 15 0 RAMOS, MARE GARCA. DST 5 26 25 0 RAMOS, MARE GARCA. DST 5 6 6 0 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM DST 5 6 6 0 CONTON SELL TONT 1 1 1 0 CARMOS, MAUL - DST 7 1 1 0 CARMOS, MAUL - DST 7 1 1 0 CARMOS, MAUL - DST 7 1 1 0 CARMOS AND - DST 7 1 1				
CRANE, EU-DIST2 0 0 0 O'HALLERAN, TOM-DIST2 0 0 0 IRIK, JEFF RESON-DIST3 4 4 0 GALLEGO, RUBS-NDST3 8 8 0 COOPER, RELY-DIST3 15 16 0 SIMTR, GARCIA - DIST3 33 0 0 RAMDS, JAUTE GARCIA - DIST3 34 0 0 RAMDS, JAUTE GARCIA - DIST3 1 1 0 OGRUALVA, RAUL - DIST3 1 1 0 OGRUALVA, RAUL - DIST3 157 0 0 OGRUALVA, RAUL - DIST3 157 0 0 Condidate'S Hand Count Total Makhine Total Absolute Different LAVA, RAH 89 89 0 0 Ormenti for why a discregancy occurret: TOTAL 12 132 0 Condidate'S Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Jace Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Rece Electry TERE S 0 0 Con		_	-	÷
ZINK, IEF NELSON. DIST 3 4 4 0 GALLEGO, RUELY - DIST 4 16 16 0 CODPER, KELY - DIST 4 25 25 0 BIGGS, ANDY, DIST 5 34 34 0 BADD, MATE BARCH, DIST 5 26 26 0 SMITH, CLIMY WILLAM - DIST 5 6 6 0 GRIALMA, RAIL - DIST 1 1 1 0 Camments for why a disceptory cotures: Race: GOVERNOR 0 Race Category: STATE LIGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Markine Total Abolute Different Candidate's Hand Count Total Markine Total Abolut Different Candidate's Hand Count Total Markin Hand C		_		-
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3 8 8 0 COOPER, ELLY, DIST 3 34 34 0 BIGGS, ANUE, OLIST 3 34 34 0 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 3 26 26 0 MILLON, CUT WILLAM, DIST 3 6 6 0 MILLON DUST, DIST 3 1 1 0 MILLON DUST, DIST 3 157 0 0 Comments for why a discepancy secured: Race: GOVERNOR 0 0 Cancidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different UAKE, KAN 89 89 0 Comments for why a discepancy octurred: Race: STATE NEPRESENTATIVE 0 0 Comments for why a discepancy octurred: Race: STATE NEPRESENTATIVE 0 0 Comments for why a discepancy octurred: Race: STATE NEPRESENTATIVE 0 0 0 Comments for why a discepance octurred: Race: STATE NEPRESENTATIVE 0 0 0 Comments for why a discepance octurred: Race: STATE NEPRESENTATIVE 0 0 0 Comments for why a discepance octurred: <td>O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2	0	0	0
COOPER, KELY - DIST 4 16 16 0 STANTON, GRE - DIST 4 25 25 0 BRAGS, ANDY - DIST 5 34 34 0 RANDS, MARE AGRAS. DIST 7 1 1 0 BRADS, MARE AGRAS. DIST 7 1 1 0 CONDUCTOR GRUALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 1 1 0 Conductor CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Execution 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total a 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <				-
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 25 25 0 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 26 26 0 SMITY, LINT WILLAM- DIST 5 6 6 0 GRIAUVA, RAUL - DIST 7 1 1 0 GRIAUVA, RAUL - DIST 7 1 1 0 GRIAUVA, RAUL - DIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a dicregarcy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Conditional State 1 Absolute Different Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Nachine Total Absolute Different Nachine Total Absolute Different Camerics for why a dicregarcy occurred: Race: State REPRESENTATIVE Race: State REPRESENTATIVE Bace Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Race: Machine Total Absolute Different Nachine Total Absolute Different Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Race: State REPRESENTATIVE 1 0 Catetate Repres				
BIGGS, ANDY. DIST 5 34 34 0 BANDS, JAVIER GARLA DIST 5 6 6 0 SMITE, CLINT VILLIAM. DIST 5 6 6 0 CADD CAD, RAUL. DIST 7 1 1 0 GRIJALVA, RAUL. DIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Race: IGOVERNOR Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Cade Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE 8 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Cade Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE 8 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Cade Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE 8 0 Cade Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE		-		-
SMITH, CURT WILLIAM - DBTS 6 6 0 OPOZOLO, LUIS - DBTS 1 1 0 Cannexts for why a discrepancy occurred: Bace Category: STATE WIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Bace Category: STATE UNIC ANDIDATE Race: ISOVERNOR Base Status Base Status Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Lake, KARI B9 89 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: ISTATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference A Candidate's Hand Count Total A O Candidate's Bartine Dists S O O	BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	34		0
POZZUOL, LUIS - DIST 7 1 1 0 GRIAUA, RAUL DIST 7 1 1 0 TOTAL 157 157 0 Camments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Assolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Assolute Difference LAK, KAN 89 80 0 TOTAL 122 192 0 camments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LECISLATIVE ON TOTAL 3 3 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Assolute Difference LANAR, CHISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 Schwielber, JUNY - DIST 2 4 4 0 Schwielber, JUNY - DIST 2 3 3 0 Candidate's IANAR, OBT 3 5 0 1 Candidate's IANAR 1 1 0 Candidate's IANAR 1 1 0 Candidate's IANAR 1 1 0				-
GRIALVA, RAUL - DIST 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: TOTAL 157 157 0 Bace Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Absolute Different Candidate's Hand Count Total 89 89 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total 192 9 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: STATE LEGISLATVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Bace Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Absolute Different Bace Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Absolute Different Science Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Adsolute Different Science Category: STATE LEGISLATVE State Addolute Addol				-
TOTAL 157 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Different LAKE, KARI 89 89 0 0 HOBSE, KATE 103 103 0 0 TOTAL 192 192 0 0:comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Different Absolute Different LAMAR, CHISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 0 WILMETH, JUST 2 3 3 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 5 1 1 0 0 CARCES, MATH - DIST 4 5 5 0 1 10 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0				-
Bace Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Candidate's Handon Absolute Different LAKE, KAN 89 80 0 TOTAL 103 103 0 moments for why a discregancy corred: Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE EPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE EPRESENTATIVE Machine Total Absolute Different LAMAR, CHISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 0 0 WILMETH, JUST 2 3 3 0 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 0 STATE RECH, LAURA - DIST 4 5 5 0 0 CLOUGON, FINNER' - IDST 5 1 1 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 0 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 0 MAROW, CADEC, KATHY - DIST 9	TOTAL	157	157	0
Candidate's HoRE, KAR Hand Count Total NetR, KAR Absolute Different 0 HOBES, KATE 103 103 0 TOTAL 192 192 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: International Strength Strengt Strength Strength Strength Strengt Strength Strength	Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		001/501/00	
LAKE, KARI B9 B9 0 HOBES, KATE 103 0 TOTAL 192 192 0 Comments for why a discregancy ocurred: Race STATE LEGISLATIVE STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Absolute Different LAMAB, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 0 WILMERH, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 5 5 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 TREACH, LARA- DIST 4 5 5 0 0 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER 'JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 0 0 0 LONGDOM, JENNIFER - JIST 5 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 MARDA, ANTHA - DIST 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Abcoluto Difforence
HOBES, KATE 103 103 0 Comments for why a discregarcy accuracit International Science (State Representative adjustmentational operational operatioperational operatioper				
Comments for why a discregarcy. STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Absolute Different LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST2 3 3 0 WILLETH, JUSTIN - DIST2 4 4 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST2 4 4 0 GRESS, MATT - DIST3 5 5 0 TRADUELL, JENNIFER - TENNT - DIST5 1 1 0 LIONGOOM, JENNIFER - TENNT - DIST5 1 1 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST8 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST9 1 1 0 MARY ANN - DIST9 1 1 0 MARY ANN - DIST9 1 1 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST10 10 10 0<		103		-
Bace Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Absolute Different LAMAB, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 WILDETH, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 GRESS, MATT - DIST 3 5 5 0 STMS, MARIA - DIST 4 4 0 0 TREADVELLENNIFER - IDIST 5 1 1 0 LONGOON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 1 1 0 JALMAN, ATHY - DIST 9 0 0 0 GRESS, MATT 0 1 1 0 0 LONGOON, JENNIFER - DIST 8 0 0 0 0 LONGOON, JENNIFER - DIST 9 1 1 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 0 HEARE, MALTN, LORENA - DIST 10 10 10 0 0 HAMAN, MITHY - DIST 12 1 1 0 0 HEARES, MATY DIST 9 1 1 <td></td> <td>192</td> <td>192</td> <td>0</td>		192	192	0
Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Different Multimetri, JUSTIN - DIST 2 3 3 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 3 3 0 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 5 5 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER 'JENN' - DIST 5 5 0 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER 'JENN' - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, FINIFER 'JENN' - DIST 5 1 1 0 MARROW, CADEN - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, FINIFER 'JENN' - DIST 5 1 1 0 MENANDEZ, MECHON - DIST 8 0 0 0 LONGDON, SCHON - DIST 8 3 3 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN - DIST 1 1 1 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN - DIST 1 1 1 0 MENDZA, MARY ANN - DIST 1 1 1 0		Passa	STATE DEDDECEN	TATIVE
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 WILMETL, JUDY - DIST 2 3 3 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 GESS, MAT - DIST 4 5 5 0 YMS, MARIA - DIST 4 5 5 0 TREADWELL, ENNIER - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDOM, JENNIER - DIST 5 1 1 0 MERCH, AURA - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDOM, JENNIER - DIST 5 1 1 0 MERNADEZ, MELOY - DIST 8 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 10 10 0 MEATMAN, KTHH- NOIST 10 5 5 0 MUNTER, HELN - DIST 10 1 11 11 MEAP JUSTIN - DI				Absolute Difference
SCHWIERERT, JUDY - DIST 2 4 4 0 GRESS, MAT - DIST 4 5 5 0 TRECH, LURAR - DIST 4 5 5 0 TRECH, LURAR - DIST 4 9 9 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGGON, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 MARIA, MISH - DIST 5 2 2 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 5 0 0 0 LOUGRRIGE, BLL - DIST 8 0 0 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 1 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 11 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 11 11 MURUMER, MERN - DIST 10 1 11 11 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 1 11 11	LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	3	3	
GRESS, MAT. DIST 4 5 5 0 SYMS, MAIR. O. DIST 5 5 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER "JOIST 5 1 1 0 MAH. AMISH - DIST 5 1 1 0 CONGON, JENNIFER "JOIST 5 2 2 0 MARAD, ADEN - DIST 8 0 0 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 0 0 0 MEINDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 1 0 MELAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 10 10 0 MUNTER, MERMA - DIST 10 11 11 0 MUNTER, MELAP, DIST 10 11 11 0 MUNTER, MELAP, DIST 12 2 0 0 MUNTER, MELAP, DIST 12 13 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCIUNO - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCIUNO - DIST 12				-
SYNS, MARIA - DIST 4 5 5 0 TERECH, LAURA - DIST 5 1 1 0 ICONGDON, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 2 2 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 0 0 0 ILOUGRIEG, BILL - DIST 8 0 0 0 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 3 3 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 10 10 0 PEARCE, RARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 5 5 0 PENARKE, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO. DIST 11 3 3 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICA "PATHY - DIST 12 4 4 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICA "PATHY - DIST 12 13 13				-
TREADWELL, LAURA P. DIST 4 9 9 0 TREADWELL, JENNER'ENT': DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 1 1 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 2 2 0 OLOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 0 0 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 3 3 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MEARE, RABRARA ROWLEY - DIST 9 1 1 0 MEARE, BABRARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 MARKE, BABRARA ROWLEY - DIST 12 2 0 0 DELOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHARKE, BABRARA ROWLEY - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, PATRICIA "PATTY". DIST 12 13 3		-	-	-
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 1 1 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 2 2 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 0 0 0 LOUGHRIEE, BILL - DIST 8 0 0 0 HERNANDEZ, MELOOY - DIST 8 3 3 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDOZA, MARY NAN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY NAN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MUSTI, JOERAN - DIST 9 1 1 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 10 10 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 1 11 11 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 5 5 0 0 OLINOMEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 5 5 0 0 OLINOMEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 5 5 0 0 OLINOMEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 5 5 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATT" - DIST 12			-	
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 2 2 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 0 0 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 0 0 0 HERNANDEZ, MELOOV - DIST 8 3 0 0 SALMAM, ATHENA - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 1 0 MUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 MEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 9 1 1 0 HARRER, BARARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 10 10 0 PARCER, BARARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 HUNTER, MELEN - DIST 11 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 5 5 0 REG, FERY - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANATSIAN "RACEY" - DIST 13 21 20 0 OUTREARA, PARTICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 <	TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		1	0
DARROW, CADEN. DIST 8 0 0 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL-DIST 8 0 0 0 HERNANDEZ, MELOY-DIST 8 3 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDOZA, MARY NAN. DIST 9 1 1 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 1 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 MARY NAN. DIST 10 11 11 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 10 10 0 PARER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 HARTON, JAMES - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES 'JIMT' - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA''STACLY'' - DIST 12 13 13 0 WILLOUGHRY, JULE - DIST 13 20 20 0 0 WILLOUGHRY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 0 MARIS, IZ - DIST 13 25 25 0				-
LOUGHRIGE, BIL- DIST 8 0 0 HERNANDEZ, MELODY- DIST 8 3 3 0 SALMAN, ATHENA- DIST 8 4 4 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 1 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 BLATTMAN, STH - DIST 10 10 10 0 PARCE, KATHY - DIST 10 11 11 0 HARN, N, STH - DIST 10 11 11 0 PARCER, BABARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 PARCER, BABARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 PARCER, BABARA ROWLEY - DIST 11 3 3 0 ODE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELNO - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELNO - DIST 12 13 13 0 CONTEREAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 MULCIOGHEY, JULIE - DIST 13 25 25 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 3 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 9 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 0 MUNDUZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 1 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 10 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 5 5 O PENA M, TATIANA - DIST 11 2 2 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES * JIM* - DIST 12 5 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIN. * PATY* - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA * STACEY* - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 MARTIS, LIZ - DIST 14 7 7 0 MURUCOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 0 0 MARTIS, LIZ - DIST 14				
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 1 1 0 PFARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 1 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 1 1 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 10 10 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 5 5 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 11 2 2 0 DELOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANATSASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 0 MWILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 7 7 0 0 MERESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 0 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 1 1 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 1 1 0 PEARCE, RARARAR ROWLEY - DIST 10 100 100 0 PARKER, BARARAR ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 5 5 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINOMEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES ''JIM'' - DIST 12 5 5 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 12 12 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA ''STACEY'' DIST 12 13 13 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 20 20 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 0 MARTINA, KENTHAWIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 25 25 0 0 MERISE, BARANY - DIST 14 7 7		4	4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 1 1 0 BLATTINAN, SETH - DIST 9 1 1 0 MEREP, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 10 10 0 PARKEP, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 111 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 5 5 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 2 2 0 OLINOMEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CONTREAS, PARTICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 5 5 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 MILOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 15 0 0				
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 1 1 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 10 0 0 PARKER, BARARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 11 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 5 5 0 PENA M, TATIANA - DIST 11 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES ''JIM'' - DIST 12 4 4 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA ''PATTY'' - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASI''s 'TACE''' - DIST 12 13 13 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRY, LAURIN - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINE, LIKIN - DIST 23 1 1 0 SAMATASIS - DIST 23 0 0 0 BAWIK, LENNIFER - DIST 16 0				
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 10 10 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 11 11 11 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 5 5 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 5 5 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 12 12 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 HARRIS, LUZ INST 13 20 20 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 0 PAWUK, JENNIFER - DIST 14 7 7 0 0 MEDDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 0 MEESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 0 MEDDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MEDRISO, SISE 18, IN. DIST 23 1 1				-
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 S S 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 5 5 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 25 25 0 0 PAWULK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 0 MILDOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 7 7 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 15 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23	HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10			
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 2 2 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 5 5 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 4 4 0 CONTRERAS, PARTICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 7 7 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA: DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA: DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA: DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA: DIST 24 0 0				
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 3 3 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 5 5 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 4 4 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 112 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 MULDUGHBW, DULIE - DIST 23 0 0 0 MEDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MCHELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0				
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 3 3 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 5 5 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 4 4 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, RAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 MEDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MULELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MULELSON, ROB - DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MULELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 MULELE - DIST 27 0 0 0 0 MULELSON, ROB 1517 2 0				-
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 4 4 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 MARRIS, ILZ - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 ORANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 24 0 0 0				
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 12 12 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWLK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 MEDDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 7 7 0 MEDDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 7 7 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 0 0 0 MARANA - DIST 23 1 1 0				
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 13 13 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWLIK, JENNFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 7 7 0 MELDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 7 7 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 MULGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 27 0 0 0 MISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 MISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 MINTERER, DON - DIST 28 0 0 0 MINTERER, DIST 29 5 5 0				
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 20 20 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 ARELE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 27 0 0 0 MARTINE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 MUNESER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 MONAL, MARIANA - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28 5 0 0 M				-
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 21 21 0 PAWUIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 25 25 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 MUGO, JESUS JR DIST 27 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 MCMERELL, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 WISSINGER, DON - DIST 28 0 0 0 MORTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 9 9 0				
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 7 7 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 RESSE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KITH - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 MUSSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 5 5 0		21	21	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 8 8 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 UGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 HOLBROCK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 9 9 0 ODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 5 5 0 Comment				-
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 7 7 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0				
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race:				-
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27 2 2 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: E Race: PROPOSITION 129 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 0 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 TOTAL 223 223 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total	MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16			
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 1 1 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 5 5 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 223 223 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0				-
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 1 1 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0 0				
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 0 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 0 0 0 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 9 9 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 223 223 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 223 223 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 223 105 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 2 2 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 5 5 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Exace Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0 PROP 129 - NO 71 71 0	PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	0	0	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 0 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEPH OIST 29 5 5 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 223 223 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 0 PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0 0 0 0				-
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 0 0 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 0 0 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 5 5 0 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 9 9 0 0 TOTAL 223 223 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 P Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 P PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0 PROP 129 - NO 71 71 0				
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28000MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29550SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29550PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29990TOTAL2232230Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1051050PROP 129 - NO71710		-	-	-
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29550SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29550PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29990TOTAL2232230Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace: PROPOSITION 129Candidate's Hand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1051050PROP 129 - NO71710				
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29990TOTAL2232230Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Candidate'sRace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1051050PROP 129 - NO71710	MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29			
TOTAL2232230Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace: PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1051050PROP 129 - NO71710				
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0 PROP 129 - NO 71 71 0				
Race Category:STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1051050PROP 129 - NO71710	Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	225	223	
PROP 129 - YES 105 105 0 PROP 129 - NO 71 71 0	Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE			
PROP 129 - NO 71 71 0				Absolute Difference
				-
	TOTAL	176	176	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAI	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 47 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total 7	Machine Total 7	Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		7	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		14	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		5	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4 BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		<u>12</u> 54	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		34	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5	8	8	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		7	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7 TOTAL		4 156	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	150	150	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI HOBBS, KATIE		<u>82</u> 111	0
TOTAL		193	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race: Hand Count Total	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2		1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2	0	0	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		1	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		<u>2</u> 1	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4	0	0	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		4	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST S		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8	-	0	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		0 1	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	0	0	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		2	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		4	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11		2	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		5 6	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		3	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	2	2	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		11	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		9 2	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	7	7	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		39	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		<u>38</u> 35	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0 8	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		6	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	8	8	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		1 2	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27		1	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28	1	1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		0	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		1	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	10	10	0
TOTAL	236	236	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Dacat	PROPOSITION 12	9
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	91	91	0
PROP 129 - NO		84	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	175	175	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAI	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 5 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total 27	Machine Total 27	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		35	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		5	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	8	8	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		26	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u>24</u> 4	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	-	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7		1	0
TOTAL	137	137	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
Candidate's			Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		85	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		111 196	0
IOIAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	130	130	
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		0 1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2	0	0	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	0	0	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		0	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		3	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		3	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		2 1	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		1	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		3	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10	1	1	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0 1	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	1	1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		<u> </u>	0
HAVENS, ANASTASIA STACET - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		18	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	18	18	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		<u>18</u> 9	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		9 11	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		11	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23	-	0 14	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		10	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		10	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	8	<u> </u>	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		0	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		03	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		2	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		4	0
TOTAL	177	177	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	Desci	DDODOCITION 43	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's		PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	94	94	0
PROP 129 - NO		81	0
TOTAL	175	175	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	I BALLOTS
EV Batch# 19 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	<u>19</u> 35	19 35	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		9	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	22	22	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		8	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u> </u>	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	1	1	0
TOTAL	108	108	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		89	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL		108	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	197	197	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		4 4	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		5	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	12	12	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		9	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		<u>11</u> 3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	1	1	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		1	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		2 12	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		13	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		4	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		5 4	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		1	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		2 0	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		3	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	3	3	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		9	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		<u>8</u> 12	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		12	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	1	1	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		1	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		4 4	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		4	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	3	3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		17 13	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	15	15	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		25	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 HOLBROOK STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		23	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		10 7	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	5	5	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	7	7	0
TOTAL	283	283	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		97	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		91 188	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	100	100	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT	HAN	D COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 11 #per Batch				November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
		Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - D HODGE, JEVIN D D		57 61	57 61	0
CRANE, ELI - D		0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - D		0	0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - D GALLEGO, RUBEN - D		<u>1</u> 16	<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - D		4	4	0
STANTON, GREG - D	DIST 4	17	17	0
BIGGS, ANDY - D		6	6	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - D SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - D		<u>8</u> 1	<u>8</u> 1	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - D		0	0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - D	DIST 7	0	0	0
	TAL	171	171	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		Race:	GOVERNOR	
	ate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE,	KARI	86	86	0
HOBBS, K		113	113	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TAL	199	199	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candida		Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - D		1	1	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - D SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - D		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
GRESS, MATT - D		31	31	0
SYMS, MARIA - D	DIST 4	35	35	0
TERECH, LAURA - D TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - D		32	32	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - D		2 3	2 3	0
SHAH, AMISH - D		3	3	0
DARROW, CADEN - D		1	1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - D		1	1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - D SALMAN, ATHENA - D		3	3	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - D		0	0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - D		0	0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - D BLATTMAN, SETH - D		3	3	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DI		2	2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIS		4	4	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIS		7	7	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIS DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIS		0 0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIS		0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIS		2	2	0
ROE, TERRY - DIS		2	2	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIS TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIS		3	3	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIS		0	0	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIS	ST 13	0	0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIS		1	1	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIS HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIS		<u>1</u> 1	<u> </u>	0
REESE, BRANDY - DI		0	0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIS	ST 16	0	0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIS		0	0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIS PENA, MICHELE - DIS		0 0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIS		1	1	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIS	ST 23	1	1	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIS		1	1	0
TOMA, BEN - DIS KISSINGER, DON - DIS		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIS		1	1	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIS	ST 28	1	1	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIS		2	2	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIS SMITH, AUSTIN - DIS		7	7 6	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DI		4	4	0
	TAL	173	173	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:				
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	ator	Race: Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	9 Absolute Difference
PROP 129		88	88	O ADSOIUTE DIFFERENCE
PROP 129	- NO	88	88	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	TAL	176	176	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 48 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		2 4	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		<u>12</u> 56	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 3		24	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		27	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		8	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u>5</u> 1	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	139	139	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAR	73	73	0
HOBBS, KATIE		121	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	194	194	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		5	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	0	0	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		0	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		1	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		0	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		<u> </u>	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		4	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		10	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		11	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		15 16	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		8	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		8	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		6	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		15 44	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		43	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		5	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		4 7	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		5	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	5	5	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		2	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		5 0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	2	2	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		2	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	2	2	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		2	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		4 3	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		6	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	7	7	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		6	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		<u>10</u> 2	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		2	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29	1	1	0
TOTAL	278	278	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Bace Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Pacat	DROPOSITION 12	9
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Candidate's	Hand Count Total	PROPOSITION 12 Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES	81	81	0
PROP 129 - NO		95	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	176	176	0

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HA	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 29 #per Batch			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
Candidate' SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST	s Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST		26 35	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST		<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST		3	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST		3	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST		19	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST		<u>19</u> 5	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST		1	0
ΤΟΤΑ	L 121	121	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Bace:	GOVERNOR	
	s Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KAF		85	0
HOBBS, KATI		113	0
TOTA Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	L 198	198	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate'	s Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST		10	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST		<u>9</u> 15	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST		8	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST		8	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST		13	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST		<u> </u>	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST		10	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST		0	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST		0	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST		<u> </u>	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST		0	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST		0	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST		1	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 1 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 1		0	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 1		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 1		0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 1		0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 1 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 1		0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 1		1	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 1	2 1	1	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 1		1	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 1 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 1		6 7	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 1		16	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 1	4 5	5	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 1		7	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 1 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 1		5 0	0
HODELSON, ROB - DIST 1 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 1		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 1	6 0	0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 2		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 2 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 2		<u> </u>	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 2 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 2		2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 2	7 2	2	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 2	7 1	1	0
		0	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 2 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 2		<u>1</u> 1	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 2		15	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 2	9 16	16	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2		13	0
TOTA Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	L 192	192	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate'	s Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YE		87	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTA		98 185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:		-00	

LY Back 4 Paper Back 200 Date of fection: November 8, 2022 Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Aboute Difference SCHWEIRERT, DAVID. DIST3 14 14 0 OCANA, ELL DIST3 0 0 0 O'HAUGER, MIND. DIST3 0 0 0 O'HAULERAN, TOM. DIST3 1 1 0 O'HAULERAN, TOM. DIST3 1 1 0 STATION, GIGS DIST3 1 1 0 STATION, GIGS DIST3 1 1 0 BIGSS, ANDY. DIST3 1 1 0 SHITH, CUNT WILLIAM. DIST5 2 2 0 SCAUCOLO, USIS DIST3 3 0 0 Candidate'S Hand Count Total Machine Total Aboute Difference Cate Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Cate Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Candidate'S Hand Count Total Machine Total Machine Total Cate Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Candidate'S Hand Count Tota	EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
Candidate's Machine Total Machine Total Absolute Differency SCHWERT, DAV.D. DIST 1 14 1.4 0 CRANE, GLU DIST 2 0 0 0 O'CALLERAY, TOM - DIST 2 0 0 0 O'NALLERAY, TOM - DIST 2 0 0 0 O'NALLERAY, TOM - DIST 2 1 1 0 O'NALLERAY, TOM - DIST 2 1 1 0 O'NALLERAY, TOM - DIST 2 1 1 0 STATYON, SIGS - DIST 3 3 0 0 RADOS, JANER CARCA. DANST 5 1 1 0 0 SCOPER, NUER CARCA. DANST 5 1 1 0 0 Cantares for adva adiscregatory cargored 1 1 0 0 Cantares for adva adiscregatory cargored 1 1 1 0 0 Cantares for adva adiscregatory cargored 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
SCHWEIKER, DAVID. DBT1 9 9 0 CRAME, EU - DIST 0 0 0 0 CRAME, EU - DIST 0 0 0 0 2014, IEF, MISON, DIST 1 1 0 0 0 0.000FE, KELLY, DIST 1 1 0 0 0 0.000FE, KELLY, DIST 1 14 14 0 0.0000FE, KELLY, DIST 14 144 0 0 0.0000FE, KELLY, DIST 14 144 0 0 0.0000FE, KELLY, DIST 14 144 0 0 0.0000FE, KELLY, DIST 3 3 0 0 Candidate'E Hand Count Total Machine Total Assolute Differencot <td>Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE</td> <td>Race:</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:		
HODGE, JEVN D DIST 1 14 14 14 0 OCANA, ELL DIST 2 0 0 0 0 DIR, HEF MISSON DIST 3 1 1 0 0 GALLEGO, RUER - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 COOPER, MELL - DIST 3 1 1 0 0 RAMOS, JANES GARCA. DIST 3 14 14 0 0 RAMOS, JANES GARCA. DIST 3 3 3 0 0 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM DIST 3 1 1 0 0 Conditation of Carl ALMANDAT Face: GOVERNOC Face: GOVERNOC Face: GOVERNOC Rec Category, STATEWIDE CANDIDAT Face March 11 11 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1				
CRARE, EL J. DIT 2 0 0 0 O'MALLERAN, TOM. DIT 3 1 1 0 GALLEGN, TUM. TOT 3 1 1 0 GALLEGN, TUM. TOT 3 1 1 0 COOPER, RELY, DIST 3 1 13 0 STATE, MORTON, ORG, CONTS 1 14 13 0 RAMOS, SUPE, GARCIA - DIST 3 1 1 0 SMITH, CULY WILLIAM - DIST 3 3 0 0 GRUNUAVA, RALL - DIST 3 3 0 0 OGRUNUAVA, RALL - DIST 3 3 0 0 COMMERCENTER REC SOVERNOR 0 0 COMMERCENTER REC SOVERNOR 0 0 Comment for why a discregancy occurred. Instru Diversor Solid Indiverse Ramid diverse Ramid diverse Ramid diverse RAME 1 0 Comment for why a discregancy occurred. Instru Diversor Solid Indiverse Ramid diverse Ramid diverse RAME 1 0 Comment for why a discregancy occurred. Instru Diversor Solid Indiverse Ramid diverse RAME 1 1 0 Comment for why a discreganc		÷	-	Ŧ
ZINK, IEF NESON-DITS 1 1 0 GALLEGO, RUELY - DITS 1 1 0 COOPER, KLUY - DITS 18 18 0 STATNOW, REG. ONTS 14 14 0 MADOS, JAVIER GANCH, DITS 14 14 0 MANDA, MARE ANALY, DITS 14 14 0 GRUALVA, RAUL-DITS 1 1 0 GRUALVA, RAUL-DIST 2 3 0 GRUALVA, RAUL-DIST 3 0 0 TOTAL 95 95 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Manto Science GOVENNOR 0 0 Race Category: STATE LOGUATINE Race Category: STATE LOGUATINE 112 113 1 Connents for why a disceptory accurated intert Disceptory-called had write the a candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Race Category: STATE LOGUATINE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Machine Fotal Absolute Difference Absolute Difference Absolute Difference				-
GALLEG, RUBEN - DIST 3 1 1 0 COOPER, KELV. DIST 4 18 18 0 STANTON, GREG DIST 4 14 14 0 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCA DIST 5 14 14 0 RAMOS, JAVIER GARCA DIST 5 14 14 0 MEGS, ANDY. DIST 5 2 2 0 POZIOLO, LUIS - DIST 5 3 3 0 MEDIAN, PALL DIST 5 5 5 0 Comments for why a discrepancy popuration Racc: GOVERNOR	O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2			
COOPER, KLUY-DBT4 18 18 0 STANTON, GRC -DBT4 18 18 0 RANOS, ANUER GRCRL, ODT5 14 14 0 SMITE, CLINT VULLAM -DBT5 2 2 0 GEDATO, SAVER GRCRL, ODT5 3 3 0 GEDATO, CLINT, CLINT VULLAM -DBT5 2 2 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred. TOTAL 95 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred. Race: GOVERNOR Race: GOVERNOR Race Category. STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Race Category. State Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candida				-
STANTON, GREGDDT J 18 18 0 BRGGS, ADVER GARCIA - DST S 14 14 0 SMITH, CULT WILLIAM - DST S 2 2 0 ORDED, JULER GARCIA - DST S 14 14 0 GREAUVA, RALL - DST 7 3 3 0 GREAUVA, RALL - DST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregarcy occured: Race: GOVERNOR Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Lace: STATE VEPSENTVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAMAR, CHRISTIAN Darate Darat				-
BIRGS, AUVER, GARCH, DBTS 14 14 0 SMITE, CLINT WILLIAM, DBTS 2 2 0 GRIALVA, RAUL-DBTS 1 1 0 GRIALVA, RAUL-DBTS 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy accurred. Race: GOVERNOR 0 Race Category: STATEWDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR 13 1 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAKA, RAI 85 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAKA, RAI 85 0 Comments for why a discregancy accurd: Intere Uscregancy-tailut daw inter the accudate name code Billed-Shoud have ben OV 13 1 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference 14 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference 14 0 1		_		-
SMITH, CUNT WILLAM. DIST 5 2 2 0 GRIALVA, RAU DIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Race: GOVERNOR Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Race Category: STATE LOSIS CATUE Race: GOVERNOR Race: Governor Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference <tr< td=""><td>BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5</td><td>14</td><td>14</td><td>0</td></tr<>	BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5	14	14	0
PP2ZQUO, LUIS - DIST 7 3 3 0 GRIALVA, RAU DIST 7 1 1 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Race: GOVERNOR Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Absolute Difference Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Inter Discregance-ballet data witter in & candidate runs evals filled Should have been OV Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Contenents for why a discregancy occurred: Inter Discregance Condidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Condidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Condidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Candidate's H and Count Total Absolute Difference Condidate's H and (Count Total Absolute Difference				-
GRIALVA, RAUL-DIST 1 1 0 Comments for why a discepancy occurred: Race: GOVERNOR Race: Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: IGOVERNOR Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LAKK, KARI 85 85 0 TOTAL 197 138 1 Comments for why a discepancy eacured: Inter Discrepance Balted Neuris in & condidate rame ovals Rited Should have been OV Race: ISTATE LEGISLATVE Race: Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Race: Category: STATE LEGISLATVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Race: STATE LEGISLATVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference LANARA, CHISTATA D 0 0 0 Status Distatus Distatus Distatus Distatus LANARD, ATTEN DIST 2 2 Distatus Distatus Distatus				-
TOTAL 95 95 0 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Race: [GOVERNOR Race: [GOVERNOR Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: [GOVERNOR Absolute Difference LAKE, KARI 85 85 Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Interi Discregance 1 1 0 Condidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference 0 0 Candidate's Hand Count Total Absolute Difference <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE Race: GOVERNOR Candidaté" Is Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference NOBBE, KATIE 112 113 1 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Intent Discrepancy-ballet ball whee as candidate ame ovals Illied Should have been OV Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Absolute Difference Candidate" Is Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference NUMMERH, JUSY - DIST 2 7 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 3 3 3 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 5 1 1 0 Category: STATE RECH, LAURA - DIST 6 0 0 Charlow Category: STATE RECH, LAURA - DIST 6 0 0 STATE, AMANISH - DIST 9 0 0 0 Category: STATE RECH, LAURA - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZ, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 0 Category: STATE RECH, LAURA - DIST 9	TOTAL	95	95	0
Candidate's Hand Count Total 85 Machine Total 85 Absolute Difference 86 IDBES, KATE 112 113 1 Comments for why a discregancy excurred. Intent Discregancy. Ballot Had write-in & candidate: name ovals filed Shoul have been OV. Name Race Category. STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE Race Category. STATE LEGISLATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference NUMERT, JUSTIN - DIST 2 3 1 0 0 GRESS, MAT - OIST 3 7 0 0 0 TREADVEL, LENNIFER - IDST 3 0 0 0 0 JUNES, MARA - DIST 4 10 10 0 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 0 0 0 0 JUNES, MARI - NOST 8 2 2 0 0 JAMMAN, ATHAN - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 HERSES, MAT - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 JAMAN ATHAN - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 0	Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
LAKE, KARI 85 85 0 HOBSK, KATE 1112 113 1 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred. Inten Discrepancy-Bailet Name Inten B. Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE MERPRESENTATIVE Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE LEGISLATIVE NUMETH, JUST: 1 Machine Total Absolute Difference Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 7 7 0 TERCEL, LANARA - DISTA 10 10 0 DIARDOW, CADEN - DISTS 1 1 0 LOUGHRIGE, BLL - DISTE 1 1 0 MEDDZA, MARAY ANN - DIST 2 2 0 MARDOW, CADEN - DIST 1 1 0 MEDZ, MARAY ANN - DIST 2 2 0 MARDZ, MEDZ, MARAY ANN - DIST 2 2 0				Absolute Difference
HOBES, KATE 112 113 1 Comments for why a discregancy occurred: Intern Discregancy-Ballot had write-in & candidates name ovals filed-Should have been OV. Race Category: STATE ERPERSENTATIVE Race Category: STATE ERPERSENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Makine Total Absolute Difference NUMMERH, JUSTIN - DIST 2 1 1 1 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 7 7 0 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4 9 9 0 STMS, MARIA - DIST 4 7 7 0 TREADPELLENINGER - HERPH - DIST 5 0 0 0 NAME, ANRIS - DIST 5 0 0 0 0 UONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 0 0 0 0 HERPAINEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 HERPAINEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 HERPAINEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 HERPAINEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 0 HERPAINEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Comments for why a discregancy-ballor had write-in & candidate name ovals filed 5hold have been OV Race Category: STATE ELPERSENTATIVE Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference IAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 1 1 2 0 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 7 7 0 GEES, MATT- DIST 4 9 9 0 0 STATE ELPERSENTATIVE TERCER, LAURA - DIST 4 9 9 0 0 STATE, MARLA - DIST 4 0 0 0 0 TERCER, LAURA - DIST 4 1 0 10 0 TERCER, LAURA - DIST 4 1 0 0 Comments for why a discrepancy - DIST 5 1 1 1 0 LIONGBOW, JENNIER - DIST 5 0 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 5 1 1 1 0 LIONGBOW, JENNIER - DIST 5 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 5 1 1 1 0 LIONGBOW, JENNIER - DIST 5 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 5 1 1 1 0 HERAWANDEZ, MECON - DIST 8 1 1 1 0 HERAWANDEZ, MECON - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MART ANN - DIST 9 2 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MART ANN - DIST 9 2 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MART ANN - DIST 9 2 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MART ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 1 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 1 0 0 MELATIMAN, SETH - DIST 1 0 0 DE LATIMAN, SETH - DIST 1 0 0 DE LATIMAN, SETH - DIST 1 0 0 DE LATIMAN, SETH - DIST 1 0 0 MELATIMAN, SETH - DIST 1 0 DE LOS SANTOS, DASCEN - DIST 1 0 DE LOS CANTOS, JASCEN - DIST 2 7 TOTAL DE SET 3 DI JO DO DE LOS CANTOS, JASCEN - DIST 2 7 TOTAL DE SET 3 DI JO DO DE LOS CANTOS, JASCEN - DIST 2 7 TOTAL DE SET 3 DI JO DO DI JO DO DI JO DO DI JO DO DI JO				-
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE Race: STATE REPRESENTATIVE LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 1 1 0 WILMERT, JUDY - DIST 2 3 3 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 7 7 0 GEBS, MAT - DIST 4 9 9 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 5 1 1 0 TERECH, LURA - DIST 4 0 0 0 TREADWELL, FENNIFER - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGODN, IENNIFER - DIST 5 1 1 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 6 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 6 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 7 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 7 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 7 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 7 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 7 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 10 2 2 0 MEA		_		
Candiade's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference UMMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 3 3 0 SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 7 7 0 GRESS, MATE - DIST 4 9 9 0 TREADWELL, JENNER, TENT - DIST 5 1 1 0 TREADWELL, JENNER, TENT - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDOV, IRNNER, DIST 5 0 0 0 AMRW, ADGEN, DIST 8 1 1 0 LONGDOV, IRNNER, DIST 5 0 0 0 MENADEZ, MEGNEN, DIST 8 1 1 0 LONGDOV, IST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 23 23 0 MUNTER, HERN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PEAAM, TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 OF LOS SANTOS, OSCAR: DIST 11 0 0 0				
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST.2 1 1 0 WILMERT, JUDY - DIST.2 7 7 0 GEBS, MATT - DIST.4 9 9 0 SYMS, MARIA - DIST.4 7 7 0 TERECH, LAURA - DIST.4 10 10 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER - DIST.5 1 1 0 LONGOO, JENNIFER - DIST.5 0 0 0 MERDOZA, MARKISH - DIST.5 0 0 0 LOUGRRIGE, BILL - DIST.8 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST.8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST.9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST.9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST.10 22 22 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST.10 0 0 0 M				Absolute Difference
SCHWIERERT, JUDY - DIST 2 7 7 0 GRESS, MAT - DIST 4 9 9 0 TRECH, LURA - DIST 4 7 7 0 TRECH, LURA - DIST 5 1 1 0 ITREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 0 0 0 LOUGRIEGE, BLL - DIST 8 1 1 0 HERNADEZ, MELOY - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SEH - DIST 9 0 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 10 23 23 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 BLATTMAN, SEH + DIST 10 23 23 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 Q	LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	1	1	
GRESS, MAT. DIST 4 9 9 0 SYMS, MARIA. DIST 4 7 7 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER, "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONGODN, INFER, "JENN" - DIST 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 0 0 0 MERADWELL, JENNIFER, "JENS" 0 0 0 MERADWEL, JENNIFER, "JEST 5 0 0 0 MERADWELOSY, DIST 8 1 1 0 ILOUGHRIGE, BILL DIST 8 1 1 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 0 0 0 MEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 9 0 0 0 MEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 23 23 0 MUNTER, RELEN - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARELINO - DIST 12 0 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4 7 7 0 TERECH, LURAN - DIST 5 10 10 0 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENT 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 0 0 0 OARNOW, CADEN - DIST 8 1 1 0 OLOUGHICE, BILL - DIST 8 1 1 0 HERNANDEZ, MELOY - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 10 24 24 0 PEARCE, RABRARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENAM, TATIANA - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENAM, TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINOREZ, MARCELINO. DIST 12 0 0 0 QUINOREZ, MARCELINO. DIST 12 0 0 0 OE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR- DIST 12 0 0 0		-	-	-
TREAD. LAURA DIST 10 10 0 TREAD. JENNIFER DIST 0 0 0 LONGDON, JENNIFER DIST 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN DIST 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN DIST 1 1 0 LOUGHRIGE, DIST 2 2 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN DIST 2 2 0 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN DIST 0 0 0 0 AUSTN, LORENA DIST 0 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH DIST 0 0 0 0 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 0 0 0 0 0 0 OUNTER, HELEN DIST 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		-	-	-
TREADWELL, ENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5 1 1 0 LONDODN, JENNIFER - DIST 5 0 0 0 SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5 0 0 0 DARNOW, CADEN - DIST 8 1 1 0 LOUGHREG, BILL - DIST 8 1 1 0 HERNANDEZ, MELOY - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 10 24 24 0 MELATMAN, SETH - DIST 10 22 22 0 PARKER, BABBARA ROWLEY - DIST 11 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATHY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATHY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATHY" - DIST 12 0 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>			-	-
SHAP, AMISH - DIST 5 0 0 0 DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8 1 1 0 LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 1 1 0 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 SALMAM, ATHENA - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLALTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 MERD, JUSTIN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PARCER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENAR, TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTREARS, PARICLA "PATTY - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTREARS, PARICLA "PATTY - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 R			1	0
DARROW, CADEN. DIST 8 1 1 0 LOUGHRIDE, BILL. DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN. DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDAZA, MARY ANN. DIST 9 2 2 0 MASTIN, LORENA. DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH. DIST 9 0 0 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY. DIST 10 23 23 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY. DIST 10 23 23 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY. DIST 10 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO. DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO. DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY". DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY". DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MARTIN, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 MARTINE, IZZ DIST 13 0 0 0	,		-	-
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 1 1 0 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMMAN, SETH - DIST 10 24 24 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 MUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 0 0 0 0 ODE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES 'JIM'' - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY' - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 MAROS, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 0 MULIOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 3 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>			-	-
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 2 2 0 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 9 2 2 0 MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 2 2 0 MUNDICZ, MARY ANN - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 10 24 24 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA. "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 2 2 0 MERIS, LIZ - DIST 14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9 2 2 0 PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 HEAP, JUSTIN, UDRENA - DIST 10 23 23 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 PANA M., TATINAN - DIST 10 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" DIST 12 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0				
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9 2 2 0 AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 PARKE, BARBARAR ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATIT" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATIT" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MARTIS, LENNIE - DIST 14 2 2 0 MARTINA, KENTH - DIST 16 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MULOUGHBY, DIST 14 2 2 0				0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 0 0 0 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 19 0 0 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 24 24 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 COTRERAS, PARTICIA "PATTY", DIST 12 0 0 0 COTREARS, PARTICIA "PATTY", DIST 12 0 0 0 MILOUGHEN, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MARTIN, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 MUNICU, ENNIFER - DIST 13 3 3 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2				
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9 0 0 0 HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 24 24 0 PARKER, BARARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 DELOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 14 2 2 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN - NOST 14 2 2 0 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN				
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10 24 24 24 0 PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 23 23 0 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENA M., TATANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES ''JIM'' - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA ''PATTY' - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA ''PATTY' - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA ''STACEY'' - DIST 13 0 0 0 MILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 3 3 0 0 MULLOUGHBY, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 MERSE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MEDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 23 7				-
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10 22 22 0 PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 MILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 3 3 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 15 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 7 7 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 24 0	HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10			0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 0 0 0 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PARTICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PARTICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULUE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULUE - DIST 13 3 3 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TRERSA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TRERSA - DIST 12 0 10 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TRERSA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TR				
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 0 0 0 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "INIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 MARIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 MULOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 16 0 0 0				
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11 0 0 0 CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 MARIS, UZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARINAN - DIST 23 7 7 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARINAN - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 7 7 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 0 0 0 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 MARNIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 23 7 7 0 0 SAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERSA - DIST 27 17 17 0 0 0 MARINE, LAUSTIN - DIST 28 7 7				
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 3 3 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 23 7 7 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 27 17 17 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 MUNGSTON, DAVID - DIST 27 14 14				
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12 0 0 0 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 3 3 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 MEESE, BRANDY - DIST 16 0 0 0 MUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR - DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 MIGO, JESUS JR - DIST 27 14 14 0 LUGO, JESUS JR - DIST 28 7 7 0 MIGONER, DON - DIST 29 11 11 0				
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13 0 0 0 WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 0 PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 3 3 0 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 8 8 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 PAYBE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 0 MILOGO, JESUS JR DIST 28 7 7 0 0 MONAL, MARIANA - DIST 27 15 15 0 0 MONA, BEN - DIST 27 17 17 0 0				-
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13 0 0 0 PAWUIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 3 3 0 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 HENDRIK, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 13 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 14 14 0 UIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEP4 - DIST 29 17 17 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEP4 - DIST 29 9 9 0 <				
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 2 2 0 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERIY - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 17 17 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 17 17 0 <tr< td=""><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr<>	-	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14 2 2 0 REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 23 8 8 0 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 14 144 0 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 11 11 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 17 17 0 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 17 17 0 <				
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14 0 0 0 HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 144 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 MONTENEGRO, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate'S Hand Count Total <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td>				-
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 0 0 0 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINOSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 TOTAL 256 256 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Machin				-
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 0 0 0 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 0 0 0 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 17 17 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE B			-	-
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23 8 8 0 LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 TOTAL 256 256 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 110 <td>MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16			
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23 7 7 0 SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 256 256 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: E Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0				-
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23 10 10 0 PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 111 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 0 PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0				
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 17 17 0 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 15 15 0 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 256 256 0 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP 129 - YES 110 0 PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 0 0	•			_
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 14 14 0 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: TOTAL 256 256 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 0 PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0	PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	17	17	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28 7 7 0 PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28 6 6 0 HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 11 11 0 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29 9 9 0 SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 9 9 0 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29 17 17 0 TOTAL 256 256 0 Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race: PROPOSITION 129 Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0				
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28660HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 2811110MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 2911110SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29990PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2917170TOTAL 2562560Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES11011000PROP 129 - NO787800				
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 2811110MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 2911110SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29990PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2917170TOTAL 2562560Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1101100PROP 129 - NO78780				_
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 2911110SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29990PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2917170TOTAL2562560Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1101100PROP 129 - NO78780				
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 2917170TOTAL2562560Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sRace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1101100PROP 129 - NO78780	MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29			
TOTAL2562560Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace: PROPOSITION 129Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1101100PROP 129 - YES1101100PROP 129 - NO78780				
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE Race: PROPOSITION 129 Candidate's Hand Count Total Machine Total Absolute Difference PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0				
Race Category:STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURERace:PROPOSITION 129Candidate'sHand Count TotalMachine TotalAbsolute DifferencePROP 129 - YES1101100PROP 129 - NO78780	Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	230	230	
PROP 129 - YES 110 110 0 PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0	Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE			
PROP 129 - NO 78 78 0				Absolute Difference
				-

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAD	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	BALLOTS
EV Batch# 14 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		13 23	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		6 48	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST S		8	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4	33	33	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		1	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		0	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	132	132	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		65	0
HOBBS, KATIE		130	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	195	195	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		7 10	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		10	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	7	7	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4	5	5	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		<u>11</u> 0	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		2	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5		2	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		4	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		<u>4</u> 29	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		23	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	3	3	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		3	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		0	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		3	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		4	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		<u>1</u> 7	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11 DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11		38	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		33	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		1	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12 CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12		<u> </u>	0
TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		0	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	3	3	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		0	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13 GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14		0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		0	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14	0	0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16 SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10		1	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27 TOMA, BEN - DIST 27		<u> </u>	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27	1	1	0
LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		2	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		2	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28 MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		27	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29		26	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		12	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	289	289	0
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Race:	PROPOSITION 12	9
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
PROP 129 - YES		87	0
PROP 129 - NO TOTAL		96 183	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	103	103	

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAN	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 36 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE		US REPRESENTAT	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1		21	0
HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1	26	26	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2	0	0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2 ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3	0 4	4	0
GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3	5	5	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST 4		9	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		6	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		16	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5		11	0
SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5 POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 7	2 1	2 1	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	0	0	0
TOTAL	-	101	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			
Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE		GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI		93	0
HOBBS, KATIE TOTAL	98 191	98 191	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	191	191	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE	Race:	STATE REPRESEN	TATIVE
Candidate's	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2	2	2	0
WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		1	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2 GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	2 7	2 7	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		6	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4		15	0
TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		5	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5	9	9	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	11	11	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		1	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8		1	0
HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8 SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		0	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9	-	2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		2	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9	1	1	0
BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		1	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10	8	8	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10 HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10	7	7 1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 10	0	0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11	0	0	0
QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11	0	0	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12	0	0	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12	0	0	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		3	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13	11	11	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13	12	12	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14	0	0	0
HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		1	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		0	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16 MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16		0	0
PENA, MICHELE - DIST 10	0	0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23	0	0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23	0	0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27	2	2	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27	2	2	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28	<u>4</u> 33	<u>4</u> 33	<u> </u>
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		33	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28		33	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		6	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29	3	3	0
PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		4	0
TOTAL	248	248	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE	Page	PROPOSITION 12	0
Candidate's			Absolute Difference
	Hand Count Total	Iviacnine Inia	
PROP 129 - YES		Machine Total 101	0
PROP 129 - YES PROP 129 - NO TOTAL	101 84		

EARLY VOTING (EV) BALLOT AUDIT HAI	ND COUNT REPORT	- OPTICAL SCAN	N BALLOTS
EV Batch# 13 #per Batch:			November 8, 2022
Race Category: FEDERAL CANDIDATE	Race:	US REPRESENTAT	IVE
Candidate's SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1	Hand Count Total		Absolute Difference
SCHWEIKERT, DAVID - DIST 1 HODGE, JEVIN D DIST 1		26 41	0
CRANE, ELI - DIST 2		0	0
O'HALLERAN, TOM - DIST 2		0	0
ZINK, JEFF NELSON - DIST 3 GALLEGO, RUBEN - DIST 3		<u> </u>	0
COOPER, KELLY - DIST S		<u> </u>	0
STANTON, GREG - DIST 4		30	0
BIGGS, ANDY - DIST 5		33	0
RAMOS, JAVIER GARCIA - DIST 5 SMITH, CLINT WILLIAM - DIST 5		<u>20</u> 5	0
POZZOLO, LUIS - DIST 5		0	0
GRIJALVA, RAUL - DIST 7	1	1	0
TOTAL	172	172	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred: Race Category: STATEWIDE CANDIDATE	Race:	GOVERNOR	
	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAKE, KARI	85	85	0
HOBBS, KATIE		107	0
TOTAL Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	192	192	0
Race Category: STATE LEGISLATIVE		STATE REPRESEN	
Candidate's	Hand Count Total	Machine Total	Absolute Difference
LAMAR, CHRISTIAN - DIST 2 WILMETH, JUSTIN - DIST 2		2 3	0
SCHWIEBERT, JUDY - DIST 2		3	0
GRESS, MATT - DIST 4	18	18	0
SYMS, MARIA - DIST 4		14	0
TERECH, LAURA - DIST 4 TREADWELL, JENNIFER "JENN" - DIST 5		22 3	0
LONGDON, JENNIFER - DIST 5		7	0
SHAH, AMISH - DIST 5	9	9	0
DARROW, CADEN - DIST 8		2	0
LOUGHRIGE, BILL - DIST 8 HERNANDEZ, MELODY - DIST 8		<u>2</u> 6	0
SALMAN, ATHENA - DIST 8		6	0
MENDOZA, MARY ANN - DIST 9		2	0
PEARCE, KATHY - DIST 9		1	0
AUSTIN, LORENA - DIST 9 BLATTMAN, SETH - DIST 9		2	0
HEAP, JUSTIN - DIST 10		2	0
PARKER, BARBARA ROWLEY - DIST 10		2	0
HUNTER, HELEN - DIST 10		1	0
PENA M., TATIANA - DIST 11		0	0
DE LOS SANTOS, OSCAR - DIST 11 QUINONEZ, MARCELINO - DIST 11		1	0
CHASTON, JAMES "JIM" - DIST 12		8	0
ROE, TERRY - DIST 12		6	0
CONTRERAS, PATRICIA "PATTY" - DIST 12 TRAVERS, ANASTASIA "STACEY" - DIST 12		20 18	0
HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 12 HARRIS, LIZ - DIST 13		34	0
WILLOUGHBY, JULIE - DIST 13		34	0
PAWLIK, JENNIFER - DIST 13		17	0
GRANTHAM, TRAVIS - DIST 14 HENDRIX, LAURIN - DIST 14		2	0
REESE, BRANDY - DIST 14		3	0
HUDELSON, ROB - DIST 16		0	0
MARTINEZ, TERESA - DIST 16		0	0
SEAMAN, KEITH - DIST 16 PENA, MICHELE - DIST 23		0	0
LUGO, JESUS JR DIST 23		0	0
SANDOVAL, MARIANA - DIST 23		0	0
PAYNE, KEVIN - DIST 27		0	0
TOMA, BEN - DIST 27 KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27		0	0
KISSINGER, DON - DIST 27 LIVINGSTON, DAVID - DIST 28		0 7	0
PINGERELLI, BEVERLY - DIST 28		6	0
HOLBROOK, STEPHANIE BLAIR - DIST 28	4	4	0
MONTENEGRO, STEVE - DIST 29		0 0	0
SMITH, AUSTIN - DIST 29 PODEYN, SCOTT - DIST 29		<u> </u>	0
TOTAL		272	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:	-		
Race Category: STATEWIDE BALLOT MEASURE		PROPOSITION 12	
Candidate's PROP 129 - YES	Hand Count Total 95	Machine Total 95	Absolute Difference 0
PROP 129 - NO PROP 129 - NO		90	0
TOTAL		185	0
Comments for why a discrepancy occurred:			

VERIFICATION

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-673(B). I. Abraham Hamadeh, hereby verify that the allegations contained in the foregoing Statement of Election Contest are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed under penalty of perjury, this 22nd day of November, 2022.

ŝ.

П

Abraham Hamadeh

Exhibit 2

Exhibit 2

Clerk of the Superior Court *** Filed *** NOV 2 9 2022 11:20a.m.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2022-015455

11/29/2022

HONORABLE RANDALL H. WARNER

CLERK OF THE COURT A. Meza Deputy

ABRAHAM HAMADEH, et al.

TIMOTHY A LASOTA

v.

KRIS MAYES, et al.

DANIEL C BARR

COCHISE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NO ADDRESS ON RECORD GREENLEE COUNTY BOARD OF **SUPERVISORS** NO ADDRESS ON RECORD DAVID ANDREW GAONA KIMBERLY HUNLEY KORY A LANGHOFER SAMBO DUL CELESTE MARIE ROBERTSON MARK D BYRNES JEFFERSON R DALTON **CEPHAS A PERKINS** RYAN N DOOLEY KAREN HARTMAN-TELLEZ **RYAN ESPLIN** JASON MOORE DANIEL JURKOWITZ CRAIG C CAMERON COLLEEN CONNOR WILLIAM J KEREKES COURT ADMIN-CIVIL-ARB DESK DOCKET CV TX JUDGE WARNER

Docket Code 042

Form V000A

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2022-015455

11/29/2022

MINUTE ENTRY

Under advisement are two motions to dismiss this election contest, and Plaintiffs' response. The Court concludes that this matter is premature under the election contest statute, and therefore dismisses it without prejudice to the filing of an election contest after the canvass and declaration of election results have occurred.

Plaintiff Hamadeh is the Republican Party candidate for Attorney General. He and the Republican National Committee filed this election contest on November 22, 2022, challenging the election of the Democratic Party candidate for Attorney General, Defendant Mayes.

Arizona law allows any elector of the State to file an election contest "of any person declared elected to a state office." A.R.S. § 16-672(A). The contest must be filed "within five days after completion of the canvass of the election and declaration of the result thereof by the secretary of state or by the governor." A.R.S. § 16-673(A). Under these statutes there can be no election contest until after the canvass and declaration of results because, until then, no one is "declared elected." It is undisputed that the canvass and declaration of results for the November 2022 election have not occurred.

Plaintiffs argue that the result of the election is now known, and the declaration of results is just a ministerial act. But an election contest is a statutory remedy, and the Court is required to follow the statutes' requirements.

Plaintiffs also argue that this lawsuit is, in addition to an election contest, a special action in the nature of mandamus. Special action relief, however, is available only where there is no adequate legal remedy. Here the election contest statute provides the remedy and process for challenging an election. *See Donaghey v. Attorney General*, 120 Ariz. 93, 95 (1978) (a mandamus action could not be brought when the "gravamen" of the complaint was that the election was improperly conducted).

For these reasons, Plaintiffs' lawsuit is premature. That does not mean Plaintiffs must wait to file suit until after a recount, which everyone agrees will be needed for this race. Rather, A.R.S. § 16-667 contemplates that an election contest might be filed despite a pending recount, and directs that "upon the initiation of such a contest, a proceeding begun under this article shall abate."

Based on this ruling, it is unnecessary to decide the remaining issues raised in the motions to dismiss.

Docket Code 042

Form V000A

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2022-015455

11/29/2022

IT IS ORDERED granting Defendant Kris Mayes' Motion To Dismiss and Arizona Secretary Of State Katie Hobbs' Motion To Dismiss Statement Of Election Contest. This matter is dismissed without prejudice.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Exhibit 3

Exhibit 3

1	STATECRAFT LAW · GOVERNMENT · CRISIS MANAGEMENT		
2	649 North Fourth Avenue, First Floor		
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85003		
4	(602) 382-4078 Kory Langhofer, Ariz. Bar No. 024722		
5	kory@statecraftlaw.com		
-	Thomas Basile, Ariz. Bar. No. 031150		
6	tom@statecraftlaw.com		
7	Timothy A La Sota, Ariz. Bar No. 020539		
8	TIMOTHY A. LA SOTA, PLC		
9	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 Phoenix, Arizona 85016		
10	(602) 515-2649		
	tim@timlasota.com		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Contestants		
12			
13	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR	R THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
14	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA		
15	ABRAHAM HAMADEH, et al.,	No. CV2022-015455	
16	Plaintiffs/Contestants,		
17	v.		
18	KRIS MAYES,	RESPONSE TO CONTESTEE'S	
	Defendant/Contestee,	MOTION TO DISMISS	
19	Derendant/Contestee,		
20	and	(Assigned to the Hon. Randall Warner)	
21	KATIE HOBBS, et al.,		
22	Defendants.		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
20			
28			
20			

Fifth, the Statement of Contest pleads alternative claims for mandamus relief, see Statement ¶ 66, 74, 80, 87, which are not governed by any particular accrual benchmark.

3 Finally, it bears noting that the Contestee's argument on this score—particularly when juxtaposed against her laches defenses—evokes a transparent "heads I win, tails you 4 5 lose" ploy. Because finality in elections is paramount to an orderly transfer of power, 6 election contests must be initiated, litigated and concluded with all deliberate speed. See 7 Donaghey, 120 Ariz. at 95. Plaintiffs who tarry risk discovering that their claims have 8 dissipated in the passage of time. See Prutch v. Town of Quartzsite, 231 Ariz. 431, 435, ¶¶ 9 12-14 (App. 2013) (weighing laches defense to election contest). Unsurprisingly, the Contestee maintains that no time is ever the right time to ensure meaningful judicial review 10 of the conduct of the 2022 general election. But to compel the Contestants' claims to 11 languish for weeks pending the undisputedly ministerial and non-discretionary canvassing 12 13 processes—which already are in progress—would perversely penalize the Contestants for 14 acting promptly, undermine the expedited statutory timetables for bringing and resolving election contests, and jeopardize a timely transfer of power in January.² 15

16

STATECRAF

1

2

B. The Question of the RNC's Standing Is Superfluous

17 The Contestee also argues that the Republican National Committee lacks standing to pursue an election contest. See Mot. at 5. But when, as here, multiple plaintiffs seek non-18 monetary relief, courts "consider only whether at least one named plaintiff satisfies the 19 20 standing requirements." Bates v. United Parcel Service, Inc., 511 F.3d 974, 985 (9th Cir. 21 2007). Because it is undisputed that Contestant Abraham Hamadeh has standing under 22 A.R.S. § 16-672(A), the question of the Republican National Committee's standing is superfluous. See Poder in Action v. City of Phoenix, 506 F. Supp. 3d 725, 728 (D. Ariz. 23 2020) ("[I]t is unnecessary to address the standing of each plaintiff in a multi-plaintiff case, 24 25 at least where all plaintiffs seek the same form of relief, so long as one of the plaintiffs has

26

²⁷ Under the Contestee's envisioned timetable, the election contest could not be initiated until at least approximately mid-December, leaving barely two weeks prior to Inauguration Day for a full adjudication of the contest, inclusive of appeals. 28

Exhibit 4

Exhibit 4

			1 · · · ·
	ARIZONA SUPERIOR	COURT, SANTA CRUZ COL	ANTY
the Santa	ACEWEN, chairman of a Cruz County an Committee;	Case No. CV-22-163	22 AUG - 8 AH 10: 53 MIAH PARLO GUZMAN OLERN OF THE SUPERIOR SANTA CRUZ CRUMENT
	Plaintiff,		
VS.		MINUTE ENTRY ORDER	
capacity COUNTY SCHULTZ capacity SANTA CI	E SAINZ, in her official as SANTA CRUZ RECORDER and ALMA , in her official as DIRECTOR of the RUZ COUNTY JS DEPARTMENT,		
	Defendants.	Date: August 1, 2022	

Ţ

EVENT: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
START TIME <u>: 2:00 p.m.</u> END TIME: <u>3:11 p.m.</u>	· .
COURTROOM: #5	
JAVS: [X]	HON. VANESSA CARTWRIGHT
COURT INTERPRETER: <u>N/A</u>	
COURT REPORTER: <u>N/A</u>	
DEPUTY CLERK: Lisette Quijada	
PRESENT: Steven McEwen, Plaintiff	
Veronica Lucero Esq., Attorney for	Plaintiff
Jackie Parker Esq., Attorney for Pl	aintiff
Christina Estes-Werther Esq., Atto	orney for Defendants
Justin Pierce Esq., Attorney for De	fendants
Sambo Bo Dul Esq., Attorney for Ai	micus Curiae Arizona Secretary
of State Katie Hobbs- appearing th	nrough ZOOM

The Court having set this matter for an Order to Show Cause in the above captioned matter; the Court called the case and the following proceedings were had:

Preliminary Matters are addressed and resolved on the record.

Veronica Lucero, Esq., avows to the Court as to the allegations filed in the Verified Complaint for a Special Action and Application to Show Cause Why Relief Should not be Granted.

Christina Estes-Werther Esq. argues the matter on the record.

Sambo Bo Dul Esq., states her position on the record.

Veronica Lucero, Esq. makes rebuttal arguments.

Veronica Lucero, Esq. advises the Court that there is a written declaration, that said declaration is in regard to the plaintiff's testimony; that if the Court decides that the space of the room is an issue, then she would like to present it as an offer of proof so that it can be on the record for appeal purposes.

The Court advises Ms. Lucero that she can collect said testimony now or present it as proof.

Justin Pierce, Esq. and Veronica Lucero, Esq. state on the record their position regarding the above-mentioned declaration.

The Court states on the record that the Court's position is that if the Court were to determine that he has the right to be present in the room or to be involved in signature verification, the accommodations to allow him to do so would need to be made regardless of the size of the room, location, or whatever would require.

Veronica Lucero, Esq. states that if that as long as the ruling is based purely on the legal arguments and this factual issue isn't going to be a part of the decision, then we don't need to submit evidence.

The Court **FINDS** that it has jurisdiction and that Venue is proper. The plaintiff does have standing in this matter. The Court has reviewed the pleadings, the cited statutes, the cited case law, and the relevant portions of the 2019 Elections Procedure Manual, and listened carefully to the arguments presented in Court today, the Court does not find the legal authority to grant what is being requested by the Plaintiff in this case. It is the Court's determination, that from listening to the arguments, and from the pleadings and statutes that essentially what is being asked from the Court is the connect the dot analysis, to pick and choose, and to piecemeal various sections of the different statutes, to go outside the plain meaning and plain reading of those statutes, to reach a conclusion that is not expressly provided for in the laws. It would essentially be the creation of a new law or a new procedure. This Court is not going to do that.

While pursuant to ARS 16-552(C), the County Chairperson for each political party may designate a party representative to act as an early ballot challenger, the laws under ARS 16-591 and 16-121.01 are very clear about the bases on which an early ballot challenge can be made and they do not include voter signature review or verification.

In contrary to the Plaintiff's arguments, the Court will not infer ARS 16-121.01(B)(1) or (B)(4), that the registrant is not the person whose name appears on the register or the making of the mark to mean that in order for the party representative to effectively challenge an early mail-in ballot on this basis, the party representative has the right to view the signatures on the affidavit to compare those to known signatures, or that it is the only way that a challenge can be made.

The Court **FINDS** that if it is what the legislature had intended, that is what would have been, or should have been stated. However, even if the Court was willing to make this leap on its own, this conclusion is further unsupported by the other statutes and the Elections Procedure Manual.

To include the very serious issue of voter signature confidentiality; ARS 16-168(F) prohibits disclosure of voter signatures except in limited circumstances, none of which apply to this case.

Again, if the legislature had intended for Party representatives to be able to personally view and compare the signatures on the early ballot affidavit with a known signature, there are many different places in the

statutes that they could have stated as such.

It could have been included in the signature verification mention of Section F, to include signature verification not just for petitions or candidate filings, but also early ballot verification. The Court does not believe that it should be a catch all provision for election purposes; it does not apply.

It could have been stated in ARS 16-550 (A). It clearly states on the receipt of the envelope containing the early ballot and the ballot affidavit, the county recorder or other officer in charge or elections shall compare the signatures. If they had intended for an early ballot challenge to be made, it could've been stated that the party representative is included. And, of course, it could have been expressly listed as a grounds for a challenge in ARS 16-121.01 (B), but it does not.

The Election Procedure Manual also does not support the Plaintiff's arguments in this case. The Court does not believe the communication between the County and the Plaintiff in this matter created a right that doesn't otherwise exist in the law.

Signature verification is a function and responsibility of the County Recorder's office and not the bases for an early ballot challenge.

Therefore, the requested relief is **DENIED**, to include the request for attorney's fees and costs.

Any other motions are moot.

This is a final and appealable order as of today's date.

The Court orders that a Minute Entry Order be prepared for the Court's signature.

There being no further issues pending before the Court at this time, the hearing is adjourned.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT HONORABLE VANESSA CARTWRIGHT

Copies to:

Veronica Lucero, Esq <u>vlucero@davillierlawgroup.com</u> Attorney for Plaintiff

Christina Estes-Werther, Esq. <u>Christina@PierceColeman.com</u> Attorney for Defendants

Justin Pierce <u>Justin@PierceColeman.com</u> Attorney for Defendants

Sambo Bo Dul <u>bo@statesuniteddemocracy.org</u> Attorney for Amicus Curiae Arizona Secretary of State Katie Hobbs